

State and local governments are the recipients, such encouragement shall be consistent with principles of federalism.

(d) Each Federal agency shall provide the Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade such information as it shall request from time to time concerning the agency's progress in implementing these programs.

Ronald Reagan

THE WHITE HOUSE,

July 14, 1983.

[FR Doc. 83-19533

Filed 7-15-83; 10:42 am]

Billing code 3195-01-M

Editorial Note: For the President's remarks of July 14, 1983, on signing Executive Order 12432, see the *Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents* (vol. 19, no. 28).

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 48, No. 138

Monday, July 18, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 83-CE-62-AD; Amdt. 39-4686]

Airworthiness Directives; Piper Models PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600), PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601), PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P) and PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new Airworthiness Directive (AD), applicable to Piper Models PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600), PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601), PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P) and PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P) airplanes. It requires installation of a placard prohibiting the use of flaps and revision of the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) or Pilot's Operating Handbook (POH) Limitation Section by reducing the aft center of gravity (CG) limit to 166.0 inches at all weights on those airplanes not now having this aft CG limit. It has been determined that with flaps extended and loaded to certain CGs, directional control cannot be maintained during power on stalls. This action will assure airplane controllability during power on stall maneuvers.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 26, 1983.
Compliance: Within the next 25 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD.

ADDRESSES: Information pertaining to this AD is contained in the Rules Docket, Office of Regional Counsel, FAA, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Curtis Jackson, ACE-120A, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1075 Inner

Loop Road, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 763-7407.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA has recently reevaluated the handling characteristics of the Piper Models PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600), PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601), PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P) and PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P) airplanes. Findings during these evaluations established that loadings which resulted in the CG being at or approaching the aft limit and configured with the flaps extended, gear up or gear down, the airplane exhibited loss of yaw and roll controllability during power on stall maneuvers before a definite stall occurred or the pitch control reached the up stop. It was also demonstrated by flight tests that adequate roll and yaw control exists to enable the pilot to control the airplane through the stall or until the pitch control reaches the stop when the flaps are retracted and the airplane is loaded to a CG of 166.0 inches or forward of this point. Therefore, prohibiting flap extension and limiting the aft CG to 166.0 inches is necessary to preclude inadvertent loss of airplane control during power on stall maneuvers. The manufacturer is presently working on a modification kit that it anticipates will alleviate the restrictions being imposed on operations of the aircraft by this AD.

Since the FAA has determined that the unsafe condition described herein is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, and AD is being issued requiring installation of a placard prohibiting the use of flaps and revision of the aft CG limit in the AFM or POH to 166.0 inches on Piper Models PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600), PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601), PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P) and PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P) airplanes on those airplanes not now having the 166.0 aft CG limit. Because an emergency condition exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impractical and contrary to the public interest, and good cause exist for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Aviation safety, Aircraft.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator,

Section 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) is amended by adding the following new AD.

Piper (Aerostar): Applies to Models PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600) (all S/Ns), PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601) (all S/Ns), PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P) (all S/Ns) and PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P) (all S/Ns), airplanes certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required within the next 25 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To assure airplane controllability during power on stalls, accomplish the following:

(a) Revise the Limitations Section of the applicable Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) or Pilot's Operating Handbook (POH) now installed in the airplane by changing the aft CG limit to 166.0 in those manuals not now incorporating this limit and insert the phrase "Use of Flaps Prohibited for All Operations" at the beginning of the Normal Operations Section and the performance data.

(b) Fabricate and install a placard using .10 inch minimum height characters in full view of the pilot which reads as follows:

"USE OF FLAPS PROHIBITED FOR ALL OPERATIONS. TAKEOFF AND LANDING DISTANCES WILL BE INCREASED WITHOUT FLAPS. REFER TO THE APPLICABLE AFM OR POH FOR PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND APPROACH SPEEDS."

Operate the airplane in accordance with this limitation.

(c) Identify this AD by number in the applicable AFM or POH as the authority for the revisions required by this AD.

(d) The AD may be accomplished by the holder of a pilot certificate issued under Part 61 of the Federal Aviation Regulations on any airplane owned or operated by him. The person accomplishing the AD must make the appropriate aircraft maintenance record entry as prescribed by FAR 91.173.

(e) An equivalent method of compliance with this AD may be used, if approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1075 Inner Loop Road, College Park, Georgia 30337.

This amendment becomes effective on July 26, 1983.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); Sec. 11.89 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.89))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that is not major under Section 8 of Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe

condition in aircraft. It has been further determined that this document involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). If this action is subsequently determined to involve a significant regulation, a final regulatory evaluation or analysis, as appropriate, will be prepared and placed in the regulatory docket (otherwise, an evaluation is not required). A copy of it, when filed may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket under the caption "ADDRESSES" at the location identified.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 7, 1983.

John E. Shaw,
Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 83-19315 Filed 7-15-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket Number 83-ACE-08]

Designation of Transition Area—Lake Winnebago, Mo.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of this Federal action is to designate a 700-foot transition area at Lake Winnebago, Missouri, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to the Lake Winnebago, Missouri, Airport utilizing the Napoleon, Missouri, VORTAC as a navigational aid. This action will change the airport status from VFR to IFR. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dwaine E. Hiland, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-532, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: To enhance airport usage, a new instrument approach procedure is being developed for the Lake Winnebago, Missouri, Airport utilizing the Napoleon VORTAC as a navigational aid. The establishment of an instrument approach procedure based on this approach aid entails designation of a transition area at Lake Winnebago, Missouri, at or above 700 feet above the ground (AGL) within which aircraft are provided air traffic control service. Transition areas are

designed to contain IFR operations in controlled airspace during portions of the terminal operation and while transiting between the terminal and enroute environment. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR). This action will change the airport status from VFR to IFR.

Discussion of Comments

On pages 19736 and 19737 of the Federal Register dated May 2, 1983, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Lake Winnebago, Missouri. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No objections were received as a result of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation safety, Transition areas.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t. September 29, 1983, by designating the following transition area:

Lake Winnebago, Missouri

That airspace extending upwards from 700 feet above the surface, within a 5-mile radius of the Lake Winnebago Airport (Latitude 34°48'51" N.; Longitude 94°18'37" W.), and within 3 miles each side of the Napoleon, Missouri VORTAC 207° radial extending from the airport to 6 miles northeast, excluding that portion that overlies Lee's Summit, Missouri, McComas Airport 700-foot transition area.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and Sec. 11.69 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.69))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 7, 1983.

John E. Shaw,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 83-19315 Filed 7-15-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 15

Reports—General Provisions; Adoption of Final Rules

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("the Commission") has reviewed the data it currently receives for market surveillance from members of contract markets, futures commission merchants (FCMs), foreign brokers and individual traders. For a number of markets it has found that the growth in trading volume, open interest, and account size of individual traders enables the Commission to carry out its market surveillance program with fewer reports.

Accordingly, as part of its efforts to eliminate unnecessary reporting, the Commission has adopted amendments to its reporting regulations under the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended ("Act"), to raise position levels in certain commodities at which Forms 103 and 40 must be filed by traders and series '01 reports and Form 102s must be filed by members of contract markets, FCMs and foreign brokers.

The overall effect of this final agency action is to alleviate an unnecessary reporting burden on the public and to reduce the amount of paperwork processed by the Commission.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lamont L. Reese, Associate Director, Market Surveillance Section, (202) 254-3310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Reporting levels are set in various commodities to ensure that the Commission receives adequate information to carry out its market surveillance programs, which include detection and prevention of market congestion and price manipulation and enforcement of speculative limits.¹

¹ The following commodities are those for which Commission speculative limits are in effect: wheat.

Generally, Parts 17 and 18 of the regulations require reports from members of contract markets, FCMs or foreign brokers and traders respectively when a trader holds a "reportable position," i.e., the open position held or controlled by the trader at the close of business in any one future of a commodity traded on any one contract market equal or exceed the quantities fixed by the Commission in § 15.03(a) of the regulations. See Rule 15.00(b), 17 CFR 15.00(b) (1982).

Members of contract markets, FCMs and foreign brokers who carry accounts in which there are "reportable positions" of traders are required to identify such accounts on a Form 102 and report on the series '01 forms any reportable positions in the account, the delivery notices issued or stopped by the account and any exchanges of futures for physicals. Traders who own or control reportable positions are required to file annually a CFTC Form 40 giving certain background concerning their trading in commodity futures and, on call by the Commission, must submit a Form 103 showing positions and transactions in the commodity specified in the call.

The Commission has determined that the growth in trading volume, open interest, and position sizes of individual traders in certain markets enables the Commission to maintain effective surveillance of those markets with fewer reports from members of contract markets, FCMs foreign brokers and the trading public.² Accordingly, as part of its ongoing efforts to reduce reporting burdens, where possible, the Commission has determined that reporting levels should be raised for the following commodities: in gold from 100 contracts to 200 contracts; in sugar and GNMA from 50 contracts to 100 contracts; in long-term U.S. Treasury notes, 90-day Treasury bills, Domestic Certificates of Deposit, Eurodollars and Heating Oil from 25 contracts to 50 contracts; in Long-term Treasury bonds from 50 contracts to 150 contracts; and in Foreign Currencies and certain Stock Index futures from 25 contracts to 100 contracts.³

grains (including oats, barley and flaxseed), corn, soybeans, rye, eggs, cotton, and potatoes. 17 CFR Part 150 (1982).

² In one commodity, silver, however, the Commission has determined that more reports may be necessary. In a separate Federal Register release, the Commission is proposing that reporting levels in silver be reduced.

³ The Commission finds that its action to raise reporting levels in the above commodities relieves an existing burden and that the notice and other public procedures called for by 5 U.S.C. 553 are not required. 5 U.S.C. 553(b) (1976). The Commission,

The Regulatory Flexibility Act

As the Commission has not published a prior general notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to these amendments which are relief measures, the amendments are not "rules" as that term is defined in Section 3(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act ("RFA"), Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1165 (5 U.S.C. 601(2)).⁴

Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511, 94 Stat. 2812 *et seq.* ("PRA"), imposes certain requirements on federal agencies, including the Commission, in connection with their conducting or sponsoring any collection of information as defined by PRA. 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* OMB control number 3038-0009 has previously been assigned to those regulations within Parts 15, 17, and 18 which impose collection of information and recordkeeping requirements.⁵

List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 15

Brokers, Commodity futures, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

In the consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to its authority under Sections 4g, 4i, 5(b) and 8a(5) of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. Sections 6(g), 6(i), 7(b) and 12a(5) as amended by the Futures Trading Act of 1982, Pub. L. 97-444, 98 Stat. 2294 (1983), the Commission is amending Part 15 of Chapter I of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 15—REPORTS—GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Section 15.03 is amended by revising paragraph (a) as follows:

§ 15.03 Quantities fixed for reporting.

(a) The quantities for the purpose of reports filed under Parts 17 and 18 of this chapter are as follows:

Commodity	
Wheat (bushels)	500,000
Corn (bushels)	500,000
Soybeans (bushels)	500,000
Oats (bushels)	200,000
Rye (bushels)	200,000
Barley (bushels)	200,000
Flaxseed (bushels)	200,000
Soybean Oil (contracts)	100
Soybean Meal (contracts)	100
Live Cattle (contracts)	100
Hogs (contracts)	50

therefore, is adopting the amendments to Rule 15.03(a) effective July 15, 1983.

⁴ That section defines the term "rules" as "any rule for which the agency publishes a general notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to Section 553(b) of this title."

⁵ See 44 U.S.C. 3502(4) (Supl. V. 1981) defining the term "collection of information."

Commodity	
Cotton (bales)	5,000
Sugar (contracts)	100
Copper (contracts)	100
Gold (contracts)	200
Silver Bullion (contracts)	250
Silver Coins (contracts)	50
#2 Heating Oil (contracts)	50
Long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds (contracts)	150
GNMA (contracts)	100
Three-month (13-week) U.S. Treasury Bills (contracts)	50
Long-term U.S. Treasury Notes (contracts)	50
Domestic Certificates of Deposit (contracts)	50
Three-month Eurodollar Time Deposit Rates (contracts)	50
Foreign Currencies (contracts)	100
Standard and Poor's 500 Stock Price Index (contracts)	100
New York Stock Exchange Composite Index (contracts)	100
All Other Commodities (contracts)	25

The foregoing amendments to Part 15 to raise reporting levels in certain commodities are adopted effective July 15, 1983. The Commission finds that the foregoing action relieves a burden heretofore imposed and therefore, that the notice and other public procedures called for by 5 U.S.C. 553 are not required.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 12, 1983, by the Commission.

Jane K. Stuckey,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-10345 Filed 7-15-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 270

[Release No. IC-13380, File No. S7-920]

Valuation of Debt Instruments and Computation of Current Price Per Share by Certain Open-End Investment Companies (Money Market Funds)

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Securities and Exchange Commission is adopting a rule regarding the valuation of debt instruments, the calculation of current net asset value per share and the computation of current price per share by certain registered open-end investment companies, commonly referred to as "money market funds." The rule permits such investment companies, subject to enumerated conditions, either: (1) To value portfolio securities by use of the amortized cost valuation method; or (2) to compute current price per share by rounding the net asset value per share to the nearest one cent, based on a share

value of one dollar. Previously, the Commission granted individual orders of exemption to permit use of those valuation or pricing methods. The rule obviates the need for most, if not all, of such applications.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 18, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. R. Hallock, Jr., Special Counsel (202-272-3030), or Gene A. Gohlke, Chief Financial Analyst (202-272-2024), Division of Investment Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is adopting rule 2a-7 [17 CFR 270.2a-7] under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") [15 U.S.C. 80a-1 *et seq.*] to permit, subject to specified conditions, certain open-end investment companies, known as "money market funds," to compute their current price per share for purposes of distribution, redemption and repurchase by using either: (1) The "amortized cost" method of valuation to value their portfolio instruments for purposes of calculating their current net asset value per share; or (2) the "penny-rounding" method of computing their current price per share.

Under the amortized cost method of valuation, money market funds may calculate their current net asset value for use in computing the current price of their redeemable securities by valuing all portfolio securities and assets, regardless of whether market quotations are readily available, at the acquisition cost as adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount rather than at current market value as would be required by rule 2a-4 under the Act. [17 CFR 270.2a-4].

Under the penny-rounding method of computation, money market funds calculate their current net asset value in conformance with rule 2a-4 by valuing portfolio securities for which market quotations are readily available at current market value, and other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by the board of directors. However, they may then compute the current price of their redeemable securities by rounding the net asset value per share to the nearest one cent on a share value of one dollar.

Rule 2a-7 provides that in order to use either of the above valuation or pricing methods a money market fund must comply with certain conditions. Those conditions basically: (1) Limit the types of investments that the money market fund can make to short-term, high quality debt instruments; (2) impose on the board of directors (trustees in the case of a trust; hereinafter referred to as

"board of directors" or "board") of the money market fund a special obligation to ensure that a stable price per share is maintained; and (3) require that the board of directors of the money market fund, in good faith, determine that it is in the best interests of the fund and its shareholders to maintain a stable net asset value or price per share and that the money market fund will discontinue its use of either method if such method ceases to reflect fairly the market-based net asset value per share. In addition, a money market fund using the amortized cost method of valuation must monitor the deviation between the price of its shares computed from a net asset value per share calculated using amortized cost values for its portfolio instruments and the net asset value of such shares calculated using values for portfolio instruments based upon current market factors. If such deviation exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$ of one percent of the price per share or if the amount of deviation may result in material dilution or other unfair results to shareholders, the rule imposes specific obligations on the board of directors to respond to the situation. Likewise, a money market fund using the penny-rounding method to compute its price per share may have to monitor in a similar fashion the valuation of those portfolio instruments with remaining maturities of sixty days or less¹ that are valued at amortized cost in order to assess the fairness of that valuation method.

The reasons for proposing rule 2a-7 and the administrative history of the rule are discussed thoroughly in Investment Company Act Release No. 12206 (February 1, 1982) ("Release 12206"), 47 FR 5428 (February 5, 1982). In brief, the rule generally codifies the standards that were developed for granting the applications filed by money market funds for exemption from the pricing and valuation provisions of the Act, with a slight expansion of the types of instruments permitted for purchase. Persons interested in a more detailed discussion of the genesis of the rule should refer to that release.

Rule 2a-7 is designed to obviate the need for individual money market funds to file applications for exemptive orders to permit the use of either penny-rounding or amortized cost methods. In addition, the Commission recognizes that money market funds with existing exemptive orders may wish to rely on the rule rather than their individual orders. The Commission has no objection to money market funds ceasing to rely on their individual exemptive orders and using instead rule

2a-7 as the basis for their pricing or valuation method, provided that the board of directors of any such money market fund approves the change and the fund makes any necessary disclosure to shareholders. In addition, rule 2a-7 is designed to clarify the obligations of money market funds and their boards of directors when using either the amortized cost or penny-rounding method. As stated in the release proposing rule 2a-7, the rule is not intended to expand the responsibilities and liabilities imposed upon directors beyond those imposed under the exemptive orders. Guidance provided by this release should be considered generally applicable to a money market fund operating pursuant to an exemptive order or pursuant to rule 2a-7.

In response to its requests for comments, the Commission received 21 letters. The commentators universally agreed that proposed rule 2a-7 should be adopted, with certain amendments. A number of commentators, however, expressed strong objections to some of the positions taken by the Commission in Release 12206. Those objections and the Commission's response are discussed in detail below. As a result of its consideration of the comments, the Commission has determined to adopt rule 2a-7, subject to several modifications of the proposal, and to issue this release, which will serve, rather than Release 12206, as the operative interpretive vehicle.

Discussion

Under rule 2a-7, investment companies that have investment portfolios consisting entirely of U.S. dollar-denominated short-term debt obligations ("money market funds")²

¹ The Commission received a comment that the rule should be amended to include this definition of a money market fund. The description has not been added as a definition under the rule because it is not an exclusive definition of a money market fund. The rule permits only an investment company that has the requisite portfolio (e.g., entirely U.S. dollar-denominated short-term debt obligations) to rely upon it, provided that all the conditions of the rule are satisfied. The Division of Investment Management has recently taken the position that it would not object if a money market fund utilizing an amortized cost exemptive order invests, within certain limitations, in shares or units of other investment companies which invest primarily in high quality, short-term municipal instruments and which determine their net asset value based on the amortized cost or penny-rounding methods in reliance on Commission exemptive orders or proposed rule 2a-7 under the Act when it is adopted. See letter from Gerald Osheroft, Associate Director, Division of Investment Management to John J. Scott, Esquire, on behalf of The Benchmark Tax-Exempt Fund, dated June 28, 1983.

² See footnote 44, *infra*.

may use either the penny-rounding pricing method or the amortized cost valuation method for purposes of computing their price per share on their net asset value per share, respectively, provided that they comply with the conditions enumerated in the rule. Those conditions are designed to ensure that any money market fund that adopts one of the above procedures in an effort to maintain a stable price per share will be able to maintain that stable price.

The conditions contained in the rule, as well as those conditions found in individual exemptive orders, provide for a special system of safeguards to protect the fund. The responsibility for designing and effectuating that system is placed on the board of directors. As a part of that system of safeguards, the directors have undertaken the specific responsibility of monitoring the market value of the portfolio, in the case of funds using amortized cost valuation, and have represented that the fund will limit investments to those instruments which the board deems to meet certain criteria. Some commentators opined that such responsibilities should be placed on the investment adviser rather than the board of directors. While the Commission realizes that, as a practical matter, board of directors may lack technical expertise and must rely on the investment adviser to provide factual information and advice, it believes that the final responsibility for the fund's operations should remain with the board of directors. The Commission bases its determination on the fact that the board is traditionally the fund's ultimate authority, as well as the possibility of inherent conflicts between the interests of the investment adviser and those of the funds. Accordingly, the rule as adopted continues to place ultimate responsibility for fulfilling the conditions of the exemptive relief on the board of directors.

In stating that certain functions are the responsibility of the board of directors, the rule does not require that the board personally become involved in the day-to-day operations of the fund, nor does the rule require the board to be an insurer of the fund or the fund's investment adviser. The Commission sought in Release 12206 to clarify, through examples, that the board could delegate certain day-to-day functions to the investment adviser and still be in compliance with the rule. However, comments received in response to the rule proposal indicated apparent confusion by some parties who were concerned that the rule would require the board personally to carry out the day-to-day operations of the fund. The

Commission recognizes that such a requirement would be inefficient and unrealistic. Therefore, in an effort to clarify its position, the Commission has modified somewhat the language of the rule, as discussed hereinafter.

The rule, like the prior exemptive orders, specifically states that the board shall be responsible for certain functions, such as monitoring the value of the portfolio and determining the quality of its instruments. While the board retains the final responsibility for the operations of the fund and the specific procedures required by the rule, the rule does not preclude the board from delegating duties and functions (to be carried out under its supervision) to the investment adviser. This release set forth in detail some methods by which the board may delegate certain responsibilities and still be deemed to be in compliance with the rule. These examples are not intended to be the exclusive method of compliance. However, they are meant to set forth the Commission's view that a delegation will not be deemed satisfactory where the board's only participation is an approval after the fact. The Commission believes that, at a minimum, the board should have knowledge in advance of how the functions will be performed by the investment adviser; the board should assure itself that such methods are reasonable and provide any guidance necessary; and finally, the board should review periodically the investment adviser's performance.

The rule also provides, under both methods, for the computation of a share price that will represent fairly the current net asset value per share of the investment company, thus reducing any possibility of dilution of shareholders' interests or other unfair results.³

Rule 2a-7 provides that money market funds satisfying the necessary conditions may use either the penny-rounding or amortized cost method. In Release 12206 the Commission stated that while a fund which had elected one of the methods was not foreclosed from switching to another method,⁴ the rule would not permit a fund to use both methods at the same time, i.e., the amortized cost valuation method to calculate its net asset value per share and rounding of that net asset value to

the nearest one cent of a dollar when computing its price per share.⁵

The Commission received a substantial number of comments expressing the view that money market funds using the amortized cost valuation method should be permitted to penny-round when computing their price per share. These commentators argued that without the ability to penny-round, funds using the amortized cost valuation method would be disadvantaged, and that the $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent limitation on the deviation of the price away from the market-based net asset value per share would limit the amount of rounding to the equivalent of that used by funds under the penny-rounding method. After considering these comments, the Commission has determined that it is appropriate to permit funds using the amortized cost valuation method to round to the extent permitted to funds opting to use the penny-rounding method, i.e., the deviation between the price per share and the market based net asset value per share may not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent.

While the Commission is proposing to permit a fund using the amortized cost valuation method to round its net asset value per share beyond the extent considered material as set forth in Investment Company Act Release No. 9786 (May 31, 1977) ("Release 9786"), 42 FR 28999 (June 7, 1977)⁶ in computing its price per share, it emphasizes the responsibilities of the board when such a method is used. A basic premise justifying the use of the amortized cost valuation method is the fact that securities held until maturity will eventually yield a value equivalent to the amortized cost value, regardless of the current disparity between amortized cost value and market value. Thus, the Commission is willing to permit funds to use amortized cost valuation so long as the disparity between the amortized cost value and current market value remains minimal. Funds using the amortized cost valuation method may need to use penny-rounding in computing their price per share when a gain or a loss in the value of their portfolio, which was not offset against earnings, is recognized. Where the gain or loss has been recognized, there is no longer merely a potential for a deviation between the value assigned by the fund for the securities sold and that actually realized by the fund. The Commission does not wish to define the premissible

³ If shares are sold based on a net asset value which turns out to be either understated or overstated in comparison to the amount at which portfolio investments could have been sold, then either the interests or existing shareholders or new investors will have been diluted.

⁴ Prior to any such switch, the board of directors should approve such action and any necessary disclosure should be made to shareholders.

⁵ See footnote 5 of Release 12206.

⁶ Release 9786 sets the amount of less than $\frac{1}{2}$ of one cent on a share value of one dollar as the benchmark for materiality.

amount of deviation. However, to the extent a fund has realized gains or losses that cause the fund's price per share to deviate from the amortized cost net asset value per share, the board must be particularly careful to ensure that the fund can maintain a stable price per share. The fact that a fund may penny-round while utilizing amortized-cost valuation does not, of course, diminish the board's responsibility to monitor the market-based net asset value, nor does it increase the permissible deviation between share price and market-based asset value.

Permissible Portfolio Investments

The rule, like the previously granted exemptive orders, is designed to limit the permissible portfolio investments of a money market fund seeking to use either penny-rounding or the amortized cost valuation method to maintain a stable price per share to those instruments that have a low level of volatility⁷ and thus will provide a greater assurance that the money market fund will continue to be able to maintain a stable price per share that fairly reflects the current net asset value per share of the fund. Accordingly, money market funds relying on the rule may purchase only those portfolio instruments which meet the quality and maturity requirements of the rule.⁸ The rule, however, would not prohibit a money market fund from holding cash reserves. It should be noted that the rule does not speak to the acquisition or valuation of puts or stand-by commitments by a money market fund wishing to use the subject valuation or

pricing methods.⁹ The Commission has granted exemptive orders to permit the acquisition of puts, but thus far, only under limited circumstances and subject to certain conditions.¹⁰ Accordingly, a fund requiring exemptive relief in order to acquire puts or standby commitments must still seek an individual exemptive order. If in the future the issues concerning the acquisition of puts are resolved, the rule may be amended.

Maturity of Portfolio Instruments

A money market fund may rely on the rule only if its entire investment portfolio consists of instruments with a remaining maturity of one year or less. As prescribed in the rule, which is generally a codification of positions taken by the Commission regarding the conditions contained in the exemptive orders, the maturity of an instrument generally is deemed to be its stated maturity, with a special exception provided for certain variable and floating rate paper. Accordingly, an instrument is deemed to satisfy the one year or less maturity requirement for purposes of the rule if, on the date of purchase¹¹ by the money market fund: (i) The instrument, regardless of the length of maturity when originally issued, currently has no more than 365 days remaining until the principal amount

owed is due to be paid, or, in the case of an instrument called for redemption, until the date on which the redemption payments must be paid,¹² or when originally issued, the principal amount due was to be paid in not more than 375 days¹³; (ii) where the instrument has a variable rate of interest¹⁴ and is issued or guaranteed by the United States government or any agency thereof, it has no more than 365 days remaining until the next readjustment or renegotiation of the interest rate to be paid, regardless of the stated maturity of the instrument, and the board of directors has determined that it is reasonable to expect¹⁵ that when the rate is readjusted it will cause the instrument to have a current market value which approximates its par value;¹⁶ (iii) the

⁷ This portion of the definition of "one year" was expanded from that contained in the proposed rule in response to comments received by the Commission. Under the rule an instrument would be deemed to have a maturity of one year or less if either that particular instrument or the entire issue was to be redeemed within the year period. When determining whether an instrument called for redemption presents minimal credit risks to the fund (conditions (a) (2) (iv) and (a) (3) (iii)) the board should consider the risk that the obligation will not be honored on the redemption date.

⁸ This part of the definition has been extended beyond the usual definition of one year (365 days) to encompass securities, particularly government securities such as project notes, which are denominated as and intended to be "one year" notes but which occasionally are issued with maturities slightly longer than 365 days. (See Investment Company Act Release No. 11679 (March 11, 1981).) This part of the definition is not meant to encompass securities which were originally issued and intended to be longer than "one year" instruments. Those instruments could be purchased by a money market fund relying on this rule only if they have 365 or fewer days remaining until maturity.

⁹ Variable rate instruments are those instruments whose terms provide for automatic establishment of a new interest rate on set dates.

¹⁰ The language of this requirement was modified from that originally proposed to clarify that the rule requires only that the board make a reasonable evaluation of the instrument, not be insurers of the instrument.

¹¹ This definition, which goes beyond a codification of orders issued, was expanded based upon the Commission's understanding that the volatility of such instruments would not be greater than the volatility of fixed interest rate instruments having a maturity equal to the readjustment period of the U.S. government guaranteed variable rate notes. However, the Commission's position is based entirely upon experience with Small Business Administration guaranteed debentures ("SBA notes") which are the only instruments currently falling within this category so far as the Commission is aware. Accordingly, the board of directors of a money market fund considering investment in any such instrument other than a SBA note should, as a part of its overall duty to supervise the operations of the fund to ensure stability, determine that it can expect the volatility of such notes not to differ materially from the volatility of fixed rate notes of the same quality. Moreover, the Commission will consider amendment of this or any other provision of the rule if market experience indicates that it is inappropriate to the rule's overall purposes.

⁹ The Commission considers the terms puts and standby commitments to encompass any agreement by a third party to purchase, at some future date and at a prescribed price, a security issued by another party. Hence, instruments which include a demand feature where the demand obligation runs to a third party will be considered to be subject to a put. Compare the investments made by Daily Tax Free Income Fund, Inc. (File No. 2-78513), in participation interests issued by banks in industrial development bonds, which were regarded as instruments having a demand feature running to the issuer and not instruments subject to puts, and a letter to the Honorable Lee Sherman Dreyfus, Governor of Wisconsin, dated October 22, 1982 (publicly available March 3, 1983), discussing the applicability of proposed rule 2a-7 to proposed bonds.

¹⁰ See, e.g., Investment Company Act Release No. 11667 (July 21, 1981). The Commission intends to propose a rule in the near future which will include, among other measures, a codification of orders granted under Section 12(d)(3) [15 U.S.C. 80a-12(d)(3)] to permit the acquisition of puts from brokers or dealers for limited purposes.

¹¹ The date of purchase is regarded as the date on which the fund's interest in the instrument is subject to market action. Thus, for securities purchased under normal settlement procedures, the length of maturity would be calculated starting on the trade date. For instruments such as "when issued" securities (securities purchased for delivery beyond the normal settlement date), if the commitment to purchase the instrument includes either a set price or yield, then the maturity will be calculated based upon the commitment date. See also Investment Company Act Release No. 10666 (April 18, 1979), 44 FR 25128 (April 27, 1979) for a discussion of other issues raised by the purchase of instruments that subject the fund to risk prior to the actual inclusion of the instrument in the fund's portfolio.

⁷ There are basically two types of risk which cause fluctuations in the value of money market fund portfolio instruments: the market risk, which primarily results from fluctuations in the prevailing interest rate, and the credit risk. In general, instruments with shorter periods remaining until maturity and which are of higher quality have reduced market and credit risks and thus tend to fluctuate less in value over time than instruments with longer remaining maturities or of lesser quality.

⁸ The applications for exemptive relief have routinely set forth the specific types and quality of instruments in which money market funds could invest. The instruments consisted exclusively of debt obligations, including such instruments as treasury bills and notes and other government issued or guaranteed debt securities, certificates of deposit and time deposits from domestic banks and thrift institutions and from foreign banks, bankers' acceptances of domestic and foreign banks, commercial paper, corporate bonds and notes and repurchase agreements on other debt obligations. While the rule does not set out the various types of debt instruments in which a money market fund relying on the rule may invest, the rule does require that all portfolio instruments mature in one year or less and be of high quality. The types of instruments a particular fund may invest in are, of course, further limited by its choice of investment policy. See also, footnote 2, *supra*.

instrument (a) has a demand feature which allows the fund unconditionally to obtain the amount due from the issuer¹⁷ upon notice of seven days or less,¹⁸ (b) has either a floating rate of interest¹⁹ or a variable rate of interest that is readjusted no less frequently than once per year,²⁰ where, in the case of a variable rate instrument, the board of directors has determined that it is reasonable to expect that whenever a new rate is established it will cause the instrument to have a current market value which approximates its par value and in the case of a floating rate instrument the board has determined that it can reasonably conclude that such floating rate feature will operate in such a way that the market value of such instrument will always approximate its par value,²¹ and (c) will be reevaluated by the board at least quarterly to ensure that the instrument is of high quality;²² or (iv) where the instrument is a repurchase agreement or an agreement upon which portfolio instruments are lent ("portfolio instrument lending agreement")²³

¹⁷ In theory, the existence of a demand feature alone, i.e., with no variable or floating rate feature, should be sufficient to enable a fund to maintain a stable net asset value per share because the holder could receive the principal amount of the instrument in a short period of time regardless of market and creditworthiness changes. However, the Commission has insufficient evidence that (1) funds will exercise such a demand feature whenever interest rates increase or the creditworthiness of the issuer is reduced and (2) there is a market for such instruments and even if there is, whether it always evaluates the instrument at a price approximating its par value. The demand feature, however, must run to the issuer. See footnote 9, *supra*.

¹⁸ A demand note subject to a notice period of five business days would be deemed to satisfy this provision of the rule even if intervening weekends and holidays could cause the notice period, under some circumstances, to run more than seven calendar days.

¹⁹ Floating rate instruments are those instruments whose terms provide for automatic adjustments of their interest rates whenever some other specified interest rate changes, where such specified interest rate is changed as market conditions change, rather than upon some periodic basis.

²⁰ See application of Municipal Fund for Temporary Investment, (File No. 812-4970) filed September 13, 1981, ordered March 5, 1982, (Investment Company Act Release No. 12278); and letter from Gerald Osheroff, Associate Director, Division of Investment Management to Joel T. Matcovsky, Merrill Lynch Asset Management, Inc., dated December 10, 1981.

²¹ By this requirement, the Commission does not expect the board to be an insurer of the instrument. However, the provision requires that the instrument be evaluated as to whether an expectation of reaching the result set forth in the rule is reasonable.

²² If the instrument were ever deemed to be of less than high quality, the fund either would have to sell the instrument or exercise the demand feature, whichever were more beneficial to the fund.

²³ Repurchase agreements may be regarded as securities issued by the entity promising to repurchase the underlying security at a later date

regardless of the maturity of the securities serving as collateral for the agreement, the repurchase is scheduled to occur or the loaned securities are scheduled to be returned within 365 days or less.²⁴

The rule places the ultimate responsibility for the quarterly quality determinations and the determinations about the readjustment of the interest rate on the board of directors. However, the day to day functions involved in making such determinations may be delegated by the board to the investment adviser, so long as the delegation is done in a reasonable fashion, meeting the standards for reasonable board oversight articulated elsewhere in this release.²⁵

Maturity of the Portfolio

In addition to requirements regarding the maturity of individual portfolio investments, the rule imposes restrictions on the dollar-weighted average maturity of the entire portfolio. Paragraphs (a)(2)(iii) and (a)(3)(ii) of the rule provide that a money market fund must maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity appropriate to its objective of maintaining a stable price per share. This provision imposes an obligation on the directors of the fund to ascertain that the fund is maintaining an average portfolio maturity that, given the then current market conditions, will permit it to maintain a stable price or net asset value per share. During periods of higher volatility in the market, the board of directors should be aware of the greater difficulty in maintaining a stable price or net asset value per share and should

(See Securities Act Release No. 6351 (September 25, 1981), 46 FR 46637 (October 2, 1981) and Investment Company Act Release No. 10666 (April 18, 1979), 44 FR 25128 (April 27, 1979).) Therefore, a money market fund is generally prohibited by the provisions of section 12(d)(3) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 80a-12(d)(3)) from acquiring a repurchase agreement issued by a broker or dealer unless it structures the repurchase arrangement in accordance with the manner described in the Investment Company Act release, which is designed to ensure that the investment company's investment, including accrued interest earned, is fully collateralized. See, however, footnote 31, *infra*. The same analysis may apply to portfolio instrument lending agreements.

²⁴ Repurchase agreements and portfolio instrument lending agreements which have no specified date, but rather are subject to demand, have generally been regarded as having a maturity equal to the notice period required. The rule as adopted reflects this treatment.

²⁵ Money market funds investing in, or seeking to invest in, an instrument with a maturity not falling within one of the above-described categories (i) through (iv) would not be able to rely upon the rule to permit the use of either penny-rounding or the amortized cost valuation method. Thus, funds wishing to invest in other types of instruments will have to file individual applications for exemptive relief.

take steps to ensure that they are providing adequate oversight to the money market fund. In addition, the rule provides that in no event shall the fund maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity that exceeds 120 days. Should the disposition of a portfolio instrument or some market action cause the dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity to exceed 120 days, the board of directors is obligated to cause the fund to invest its available cash in a way that will reduce its dollar-weighted averaged portfolio maturity to 120 days or less as soon as reasonably practicable.

For purposes of computing the average portfolio maturity, instruments generally will be deemed to have a maturity equal to the period remaining until the date of maturity of the instrument noted on its face. Instruments which have been called for redemption are deemed to have a maturity equal to the period remaining until the redemption payment is to be made. Certain variable or floating interest rate instruments, which meet the conditions enumerated in the prior section of this release and are deemed to have a remaining maturity of one year or less for purposes of the rule,²⁶ may be treated as having a maturity other than that noted on the face of the instrument. Any such variable rate instruments with demand features may be deemed to have a maturity equal to the longer of the period remaining until the next rate readjustment or the period remaining until the principal amount can be recovered through demand.²⁷ Any such floating interest rate instruments with a demand feature may be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the principal amount due on the instrument can be recovered through demand.²⁸ Any such variable

²⁶ See the discussion on Maturity of Portfolio Instruments, which sets forth the conditions that must be fulfilled in order for the maturity to be deemed a period other than that remaining until the maturity date noted on the face of the instrument.

²⁷ Because certain of such variable rate demand instruments may not be readily marketable, the demand notice period may be the shortest period during which the holder may practically expect to bear the market risk associated with the instrument. However, because the Commission believes that the demand features of such instruments are seldom used except for liquidity purposes, holders will usually be exposed to market risk during the period remaining to the date of the next interest rate adjustment.

²⁸ If the board determined that a demand instrument, either floating or variable rate, were no longer of high quality, the fund could not base its maturity on the period remaining until recalculation of the interest rate or on the demand period, but, as noted at footnote 22 *supra*, would have to exercise the demand feature or sell the instrument, whichever were more beneficial to the fund.

rate instruments (issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or an agency thereof) that do not have a demand feature may be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the next calculation of the interest rate rather than the period remaining until the principal amount is due. Repurchase agreements and portfolio instrument lending agreements shall be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the repurchase is scheduled to occur or the loaned-instruments are scheduled to be returned. When no date is specified but the agreements are subject to demand, the maturity shall be based upon the notice period required.²⁹ Finally, although variable rate instruments with neither a United States government or government agency guarantee nor a demand feature may be purchased only if the period until the maturity date set on the face of the instrument is one year or less, the rule will permit, for purposes of determining the dollar-weighted average maturity of the entire portfolio under the rule, such instruments to be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the next readjustment of the interest rate, provided that the board determines that it is reasonable to expect that the new rate will cause the instrument to have a current market rate which approximates its par value.³⁰

²⁹ Although repurchase agreements ("repos") will be treated as having a maturity based upon the length of the agreement and not the maturity of the instruments which serve as collateral, the board of directors should be aware of the risks involved with the purchase of repos that are collateralized by instruments with remaining maturities of greater than one year. If the issuer of the repo should default, the instrument serving as collateral would become a part of the money market fund's portfolio. Instruments with longer maturities generally have greater volatility and thus would expose the fund to a greater risk of an unstable price per share. Moreover, the instrument would not satisfy the provisions defining permissible portfolio instruments. Therefore, the Commission would take the position that such a security should not become a part of the portfolio and must be disposed of as soon as possible. Of course, if the default is due to bankruptcy, the fund may be unable to perfect its possession of the collateral. (See footnote 31, *infra*.) The same analysis would apply to transactions where the money market fund loans portfolio instruments and instruments having maturities of greater than one year are received as collateral for the loan. If the borrower defaults, the fund would be left with instruments which would not meet the provisions of the rule. Under the same analysis, these instruments should not become a part of the portfolio and must be disposed of as soon as possible.

³⁰ This provision reflects a slight expansion of the relief given through exemptive order, which required periods of renegotiation to be 30 days or less and the remaining maturity of the instrument to be 180 days or less. (Investment Company Act Release No. 11679 (March 11, 1981).)

Quality of Portfolio Instruments

In addition to the above limitations on the maturity of the portfolio of a money market fund seeking to rely on the rule, paragraphs (a)(2)(iv) and (a)(3)(iii) of the rule contain conditions relating to the quality of all portfolio instruments. The rule provides that each portfolio instrument must be denominated in United States dollars and must also be an instrument which: (1) The board had determined presents minimal credit risks to the fund; and (2) is rated "high quality" by a major rating service or, if the security is unrated, is determined by the board to be of comparable quality.³¹

The Commission received conflicting comments regarding the quality standards that should be imposed under the rule. Some commentators believed that the rule should rely totally upon fund management to judge the quality of instruments and recommended deleting the requirement that the instruments, if rated by a third party, receive a high quality rating. Other commentators suggested that the requirement that the board find that the instrument presents minimal credit risks is superfluous and that the rule should require only a finding of high quality. Regardless of what standard was imposed, a substantial number of commentators believed that the board should not be

³¹ With regard to investments in repurchase agreements ("repos"), the Commission believes that in determining whether the investment meets the quality provisions of the rule, the board must look both to the quality of the issuer of the promise to repurchase as well as the quality of the underlying collateral. More specifically, in determining whether the repo presents minimal credit risks, the fund must assess the credit risk involved in getting payment in a timely fashion. That assessment must include an evaluation of the issuer's creditworthiness as well as the creditworthiness of the collateral, since the financial position of the issuer may affect the fund's ability to obtain the collateral. Given the uncertain status of repos under the Bankruptcy Code, mutual funds face certain risks if they invest in repos issued by a party that subsequently initiates bankruptcy proceedings. See, e.g., *In re Lambert-Wall, Inc.*, Reorganization Case No. 82 Bkcy 11556 (EJR) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y., filed Aug. 12, 1982). Investment Company Act Release No. 13005 (February 2, 1983), 48 FR 5894 (February 9, 1983) sets forth specific suggestions concerning factors that may assist funds in evaluating the creditworthiness of repo issuers. Although the board must look to both the issuer of the repo and the underlying collateral when determining minimal credit risk, the Commission believes that in making a "high quality determination," it is appropriate for the board to look solely to the quality of the underlying collateral.

The Commission regards only that portion of the agreement which is fully collateralized to be the "repurchase agreement" subject to the treatment discussed above. Any agreement or portion of an agreement which is not fully collateralized would be regarded as an unsecured loan. As such, the loan itself would be required to meet the quality requirements set forth in the rule, both in terms of presenting minimal credit risks and high quality rating.

involved in the quality determination at all, and that the determination should be made by the investment adviser.

The Commission believes that both tests are significant and, therefore, has retained both in the rule. The requirement that a security have a high quality rating provides protection by ensuring input into the quality determination by an outside source. However, the mere fact that an instrument has or would receive a high quality rating may not be sufficient to ensure stability. The Commission believes that the instrument must be evaluated for the credit risk that it presents to the particular fund at that time in light of the risks attendant to the use of amortized cost valuation or penny-rounding. Moreover, the board may look at some aspects when evaluating the risk of an investment that would not be considered by the rating services.

As stated earlier, the Commission believes that the ultimate responsibility for the quality of portfolio instruments should be placed on the board of directors, who have undertaken special responsibilities designed to ensure stability of the fund. However, as discussed earlier, although the rule provides that the fund will invest only in those instruments which the board has determined to be of sufficient quality, the Commission will not object to the delegation of the day-to-day function of determining quality, provided that the board retains sufficient oversight. An example of acceptable delegation would be for the board to set forth a list of "approved instruments" in which the fund could invest, such list including only those instruments which the board had evaluated and determined presented minimal credit risks.³² The board could also approve guidelines for the investment adviser regarding what factors would be necessary in order to deem a particular instrument as presenting minimal credit risk. The investment adviser would then evaluate the particular instruments proposed for investment and make only conforming investments. In either case, on a periodic basis the board should secure from the investment adviser and review both a listing of all instruments acquired and a representation that the fund had invested in only acceptable instruments. The board, of course, could revise the list of approved instruments or the investment factors to be used by the investment adviser.

³² The Commission envisions that the investment adviser would provide the board with the data to evaluate the instruments and make its assessment.

Again, these examples are not meant to set the exclusive methods by which the board could fulfill its responsibilities. However, they are meant to provide guidance as to what the Commission would consider adequate oversight. Generally, adequate oversight would involve the board satisfying itself in advance that the methods to be used by the adviser in fulfilling the functions are correct, and then reviewing the adviser's actions. However, the Commission is of the view that the board would not be complying with the requirement to review the quality of the fund's portfolio instruments if it merely approved the transactions in which the fund engaged, after the fact.

In order to fulfill the rule's requirements that the instruments be rated "high quality," the instruments, if rated, must have been given a rating by a major financial rating service such as Standard & Poor's Corporation, Moody's Investors Services or Fitch Investors Service³² that would be considered high quality.³³ Even if the board of directors believes that the rating service incorrectly rated the instrument too low or that because of changed circumstances the instrument is now of higher quality, this provision of the rule precludes a money market fund which is relying on the rule from investing in any rated instrument which does not have a "high quality" rating.³⁴

³² Standard & Poor's Corporation ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Services ("Moody's") and Fitch Investors Service ("Fitch") are set forth as examples of rating services that are considered by the Commission to meet the definition of a major financial rating service. The Commission does not intend to prescribe that the ratings must come only from one of these three services.

³³ Using bonds as an example, Moody's defines "high quality" for bonds to be those instruments which receive an Aaa or Aa rating. Similarly, the Commission would consider bonds rated AAA or AA by Standard & Poor's or by Fitch to be high quality. Therefore, a money market fund seeking to rely on this rule could invest only in bonds which were rated AA (Aa) or better. Commercial paper receiving one of the two top ratings (Prime-1 or 2, A-1 or 2, or Fitch-1 or 2) also would be considered high quality. The rule requires only that an instrument receive a "high quality" rating from one major financial rating service. In a case where an instrument received different ratings from different services, the instrument would be an acceptable investment so long as at least one rating was a high quality rating and provided that the board found that the instrument presented minimal credit risks.

³⁴ However, a rated instrument that is subject to some external agreement (such as a letter of credit from a bank), where such external agreement was not considered when the instrument was given its rating, for purposes of this rule, will be considered an unrated security. The Commission believes that agreements such as letters of credit can significantly affect the credit risk associated with an instrument. Therefore, since the security may have significant characteristics which are not included in the rating, it is appropriate to consider a security subject to an external agreement, as an unrated security, and thus

If an instrument has received no rating from a major rating service, then, assuming that the board has found that it presents minimal credit risks to the fund, it would be a permissible investment under the rule, provided that the board also finds that the instrument is of "comparable quality" to that of instruments that are rated "high quality".³⁵

In meeting the rule's requirement that the fund invest only in those securities which the board determines to meet certain quality standards, the board may delegate to the investment adviser the responsibility for investigating and judging the creditworthiness of particular instruments. However, like the procedures discussed above, the board must exercise sufficient oversight if it wishes to delegate this function to the investment adviser. Again, sufficient oversight would involve the board setting guidelines, its approval of the adviser's methods in advance and routine surveillance of the adviser's performance.

Liquidity of the Portfolio

While the rule does not limit a money market fund's portfolio investments solely to negotiable and marketable instruments, money market funds, like all open-end management investment companies, are subject to limitations on restricted or illiquid securities. In Investment Company Act Release No. 5847 (October 21, 1969), 35 FR 19989 (December 31, 1970) ("Release 5847"), the Commission set forth its view that, because an open-end company has an obligation to value its portfolio correctly and to satisfy all redemption requests within the statutorily prescribed period, it must limit its acquisition of restricted securities and other securities not having readily available market quotations to the extent necessary to ensure that it can fulfill its obligations. In addition, the Commission took the position that, in light of those

permit the board to determine whether the instrument, taking into account the external agreement, is of comparable quality.

If the board were to consider an external agreement as a basis for judging the quality of an underlying security, that external agreement would have to be unconditional and have terms coextensive with those of the underlying security. Moreover, the instrument could not be judged to be of better quality than that of comparable debt securities of the issuer of the external agreement. It should be noted, however, that if the rating service included the external agreement in its calculation of the rating, the instrument will be regarded as a rated instrument, regardless of the board's lack of concurrence with the rating.

³⁵ As noted above, provided that certain conditions are met, third party agreements may be analyzed in evaluating whether an instrument is of sufficient quality.

obligations, in no event should the percentage of such securities exceed ten percent of the company's net assets. Money market funds relying on the rule, like any other open-end management company, must limit their portfolio investments in illiquid instruments³⁷ to not more than ten percent of their net assets.³⁸ However, because of the nature of money market funds, the difficulties that could arise in conjunction with the purchase of illiquid instruments by such funds might be even greater than for other types of open-end management investment companies. Therefore, the board of directors of a money market fund relying on the rule may have a fiduciary obligation to limit further the acquisition of illiquid portfolio investments.

While the Act requires only that an investment company make payment of the proceeds of redemption within seven days,³⁹ most money market funds promise investors that they will receive proceeds much sooner, often on the same day that the request for redemption is received by the fund. In addition, most money market funds, because they are primarily vehicles for short-term investments, experience a greater and perhaps less predictable volume of redemption transactions than do other investment companies. Thus, a money market fund must have sufficient liquidity to meet redemption requests on a more immediate basis. By purchasing or otherwise acquiring illiquid instruments, a money market fund exposes itself to a risk that it will be unable to satisfy redemption requests promptly.

In addition, as set forth in Release 5847, management of the investment company's portfolio could also be

³⁷ Illiquid instruments, in this context, would generally encompass any instrument which cannot be disposed of promptly and in the usual course of business without taking a reduced price. This would include, but is not limited to, repurchase agreements for greater than seven days, non-negotiable instruments, and instruments for which no market exists. *But cf.* the discussion in the text preceding footnote 45, *infra*, of the treatment of a non-negotiable instrument, which may be redeemed with the issuer subject to a penalty. Where the fund is using amortized cost valuation, such an instrument need not be regarded as an illiquid security if, when the fund monitors the deviation, it uses a market value for such security, which includes the effect of the penalty charge.

³⁸ In the event that changes in the portfolio or other external events cause the investments in illiquid instruments to exceed ten percent of the fund's net assets, the fund must take steps to bring the aggregate amount of illiquid instruments back within the prescribed limitations as soon as reasonably practicable. However, this requirement generally would not force the fund to liquidate any portfolio instrument where the fund would suffer a loss on the sale of that instrument.

³⁹ Section 22(e) of the Act [15 U.S.C. 80a-22(e)].

affected by the purchase of illiquid instruments. If the investment company found that it was forced to sell portfolio instruments in order to satisfy redemptions, it might sell marketable securities which it would otherwise wish to retain in order to avoid attempting to dispose of non-negotiable instruments or other illiquid instruments, since the sale of non-negotiable or illiquid securities would necessitate the money market fund's accepting a reduced price. The judgment concerning which securities would be retained would no longer be based upon comparative investment merit. Therefore, the board of directors has a particular responsibility to ensure that when a money market fund purchases or acquires illiquid instruments, such instruments will not impair the proper management of the fund.

Finally, the purchase of illiquid instruments can seriously complicate the valuation of a money market fund's shares and can result in the dilution of shareholders' interests. If illiquid instruments which were valued at amortized cost were disposed of at a reduced price, then, in retrospect, the net asset value of the money market fund would have been overstated. Similarly, if illiquid instruments were valued at a discounted value (to compensate for the possibility that they may have to be disposed of prior to maturity), but were held to maturity and thus yielded their full value, the net asset value of the money market fund would have been understated. Regardless of the types of instruments purchased, the board of directors of a money market fund is under the same obligation to ensure that the price per share correctly reflects the current net asset value per share of the fund. Therefore, when a fund purchases illiquid instruments, the board of directors has a fiduciary duty to ascertain that the fund is operated in such a manner that the purchase of such instruments does not materially affect the valuation of the fund's shares.

Obligation of the Board to Maintain Stable Price

A money market fund that describes itself in its prospectus as having or seeking to maintain a stable price per share through portfolio management and use of a special pricing or asset valuation method has an obligation to its shareholders to continue the chosen method so long as it is consistent with the provisions of the Act, until shareholders are notified of a change in policy. The Commission believes that where a money market fund adopts either the amortized cost valuation or

penny-rounding pricing method under the rule to enhance its ability to maintain a stable price it has a heightened responsibility to shareholders to maintain that stable price. Accordingly, under paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(3)(i) of the rule, the board of directors of a money market fund wishing to use either penny-rounding or the amortized cost valuation method has a particular obligation to assure that the fund is managed in such a way that a stable price will be maintained.

The rule as originally proposed contemplated that funds using either the amortized cost method or penny-rounding method would stabilize their net asset value per share or their price per share, respectively, at \$1.00. In so doing the Commission did not wish to foreclose funds from using a single stabilized value other than \$1.00, but was merely codifying what seemed to be an industry practice. The Commission received a few comment letters which expressed the desire to have some flexibility in the value at which a fund would be stabilized. Therefore, paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(3)(i) of the rule were revised to permit funds using amortized cost or penny-rounding to stabilize the net asset value per share or price per share, respectively, at a single value, rather than specifically at \$1.00.

For a fund seeking to use the amortized cost valuation method, the board of directors has a responsibility to establish procedures reasonably designed to stabilize the fund's net asset value per share. For a fund seeking to use the penny-rounding method, the board of directors has a responsibility, through its supervision of the fund's operations and delegation of special responsibilities to the investment adviser, to assure, to the extent reasonably practicable, that the money market fund's price per share remains stabilized at the single value selected.⁴⁰

Testimony by witnesses from the investment company industry presented at the hearings on the original applications for amortized cost valuation alleged that with the limitations on quality and length of

⁴⁰ The rule mandates that the board act in some specific ways to fulfill its responsibility to ensure a stable net asset value or price: having the fund maintain an appropriate dollar-weighted average maturity and permitting the fund to invest only in instruments which present a minimal credit risk and are of high quality. Thus, for example, it appears that the board of directors should, absent extenuating circumstances which would cause such action not to be in the best interest of the fund, cause the money market fund to dispose of any security as soon as practicable, if the quality of that instrument falls below "high quality." See also footnote 22, *supra*.

maturity provided, short of extraordinarily adverse conditions in the market, a money market fund that is properly managed should be able to maintain a stable price per share.⁴¹ The orders granting exemptive relief and this rule, which codifies those orders, are premised on that representation. Therefore, the Commission believes that if a money market fund relying on this rule is unable to maintain a stable net asset value per share, and this inability is not due to highly unusual conditions affecting the money markets in general, there is a strong presumption that the board of directors has not fulfilled its obligation to ensure that the fund is properly managed.⁴²

Monitoring the Fairness of the Valuation or Pricing Method

In addition to the restrictions on the types of portfolio investments that may be made, the provisions of the rule impose obligations on the board of directors to assess the fairness of the valuation or pricing method and take appropriate steps to ensure that shareholders always receive their proportionate interest in the money market fund. Paragraph (a)(1) of the rule provides that the board of directors of each money market fund relying on the rule must determine that the valuation or pricing method selected is in the best interests of the shareholders of the fund. That finding must be made prior to the implementation of the selected method, and the board must continue thereafter

⁴¹ Proceedings before the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Matter of InterCapital Liquid Asset Fund, Inc., et al., 3-5431, December 20, 1978, at 1414.

⁴² The Commission received several negative comments in response to this view. These commentators stated that no presumption of failure by the board to fulfill its responsibilities should flow from the fund's failure to maintain a stable net asset value per share and that the Commission should focus upon whether the procedures adopted were reasonable. As stated elsewhere in this release, the Commission does not expect the board of directors to be insurers of the activities of the investment adviser or of the fund. The Commission has evaluated in the past, and would similarly evaluate in the future, the actions of the board of directors based upon a reasonable business standard. However, in permitting funds to use the amortized cost valuation method, the Commission was assured that under all but extreme circumstances, the responsibilities imposed by the rule, if fulfilled, would produce stability. The rule and the specific exemptive orders provide the board with substantial discretion in adopting procedures to achieve this end. The mere adoption of those specific procedures required in the rule and exemptive orders will not, *per se*, fulfill the board's responsibilities. On the other hand, if a board adopts procedures which are reasonably designed to assure stability and the board acts in a reasonable fashion to assure that those procedures are followed, the Commission would not hold the board responsible for any failure to maintain a stable net asset value per share.

to believe that the method fairly reflects the market-based net asset value per share.⁴³ Moreover, the minutes should reflect the finding and include the factors that were considered by the board and the board's analysis of those factors in reaching its conclusion. The rule imposes an obligation on the board to discontinue the use of the selected valuation or pricing method if it ceases to reflect fairly the market-based net asset value per share. In that case, the fund's current price and net asset value per share would ordinarily have to be determined in conformance with the provisions of section 2(a)(41) of the Act [15 U.S.C. 80a-2(a)(41)] and rules 2a-4 and 22c-1 thereunder [17 CFR 270.2a-4 and 270.22c-1].

In addition to the general obligation to assess the fairness of the valuation or pricing system, paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of the rule requires the board of a money market fund relying on this rule and using the amortized cost method of valuation to adopt procedures whereby the board periodically will review the monitoring of the deviation between the per share net asset value based on the market value of the portfolio ("market-based value") and the price per share computed from a net asset value per share calculated using the amortized cost valuation of the portfolio, which must be performed at intervals that are deemed appropriate by the board and are reasonable in light of current market conditions. In addition, the rule requires the maintenance of a record of both functions. The rule does not prescribe specific intervals for such monitoring; however, the board must select intervals that are reasonable "in light of current market conditions." This means that the reviews should be frequent enough so that the board may become aware of changes in the market-based per share net asset value before they become material. During periods of high market volatility, this requirement may necessitate that the deviation between such market-based value and price be monitored on a daily basis. During periods of lower volatility, it may be reasonable to monitor such deviation less frequently.

As with other functions required by the rule, the board is not compelled to perform the actual day-to-day

monitoring itself. That function may be performed by the investment adviser or some other entity. However, the board is ultimately responsible for the monitoring function. The board does not fulfill its responsibility to review such monitoring by merely requiring the investment adviser to notify it at some designated benchmark, unless the board has a reasonable basis for believing that the portfolio is being correctly and appropriately monitored. In order to have such a reasonable basis, the Commission believes that the board should assure itself that the intervals between monitoring will be changed as appropriate to be responsive to changing market conditions and that the monitoring process will include an appropriate method to determine the market value of each type of instrument contained in the fund's portfolio. In addition, the Commission believes that periodically the board should review the actual monitoring calculations.

In determining the market-based value of the portfolio for purposes of computing the amount of deviation, all portfolio instruments, regardless of the time to maturity, should be valued based upon market factors and not their amortized cost value.⁴⁴ That value should reflect the amount that would be received upon the current sale of the asset. Accordingly, a non-negotiable instrument which is not treated as an illiquid security because it may be redeemed with the issuer, subject to a penalty for early redemption, must be assigned a value for monitoring purposes which takes into account the

reduced amount that would be received if it were currently liquidated.⁴⁵

The rule was modified slightly to indicate explicitly that the monitoring may be performed with suitable substitutes for market quotations. The Commission will not object if a fund, with the approval of its board, determines the market-based value of each instrument using estimates of market value which reflect current market conditions or using values derived from yield data relating to classes of money market instruments obtained from reputable sources, provided that certain minimum conditions are met. Where estimates of market value rather than actual quotations are used, the board should review and approve the method by which such estimates will be obtained. Any pricing system based on yield data for selected instruments used by a fund must be based upon market quotations for sufficient numbers and types of instruments to be a representative sample of each class of instrument held in the portfolio, both in terms of the types of instruments as well as the differing quality of the instruments. Moreover, periodically, the board should check the accuracy of the pricing system or the estimates. If the fund uses an outside service to provide this type of pricing for its portfolio instruments, it may not delegate to the provider of the service the ultimate responsibility to check the accuracy of the system.

The rule does not include a specific requirement that a money market fund using the penny-rounding method monitor the market-based value of its shares because such market-based valuation generally is itself the basis for the calculation of the per share net asset value upon which the price per share is computed. However, where a penny-rounding money market fund uses the amortized cost method to value portfolio instruments with remaining maturities of 60 days or less,⁴⁶ monitoring the deviation between the net asset value per share calculated using the market based value of all its portfolio instruments and its price per share may

⁴³ Release 9786 set forth the Commission's position that it would not object to a board of directors determining, in good faith, that it was appropriate for a money market fund to value securities with less than 60 days remaining until maturity at amortized cost, unless the particular circumstances dictate otherwise. The impact of that release was to obviate the necessity of exemptive relief for such valuation. Thus, while it may be appropriate for the board to value certain portfolio securities at amortized cost without adherence to the conditions contained in the rule, Release 9786 does not affect the monitoring procedures under this rule. Where the fund is using amortized cost valuation to such an extent that exemptive relief is necessary, i.e., its portfolio contains any security with a maturity in excess of 60 days, the monitoring procedures contained in the rule are designed to place a limitation on the total deviation between the fund's amortized cost value and its market-based value. In order to calculate precisely that total deviation, all instruments must be valued at market value. In addition, prudence would seem to suggest that funds which are relying solely on Release 9786 in order to allow them to use the amortized cost method of valuing their portfolio securities should institute procedures to monitor whether any "particular circumstances" have developed which make the use of amortized cost no longer appropriate.

⁴⁴ This requirement was not explicitly listed as a condition of the prior exemptive orders; however, the obligation existed as a result of: (1) the general obligation of a board to value portfolio instruments at fair value, which would cause the net asset value per share to reflect fairly each shareholder's interest, and (2) the specific condition of the orders that required the board to take action to eliminate any potential for dilution or unfair results, which might include ceasing to use the amortized cost method.

⁴⁵ A non-negotiable instrument, which may be put back to the issuer subject to a penalty may be treated as a liquid security, provided that for monitoring purposes the market value assigned to the instrument includes the effect of the penalty, or it may be treated as an illiquid security, with no reduction in value to reflect the penalty charge; provided that the security is then counted towards the ten percent limitation on illiquid securities. A money market fund, especially a fund with expedited redemption features, should carefully consider, however, whether securities subject to a penalty may impair the fund's liquidity.

⁴⁶ See footnote 44, *supra*.

be necessary in order for the board to fulfill its responsibility to oversee the use of the penny-rounding method. If the price per share obtained through penny-rounding does not fairly represent each shareholder's interest in the fund, the board is obligated to use another pricing system which does fairly reflect each shareholder's interest. Particularly in a volatile market, if a penny-rounding fund were to use amortized cost valuation for a material portion of its portfolio, monitoring of actual market values might be necessary in order for the board to make a determination regarding the current fairness of prices obtained under the penny-rounding method. Moreover, the board's obligation to assure that the money market fund is maintaining an appropriate dollar-weighted average maturity to ensure stability may require that the per share net asset value based upon the market value of all the fund's portfolio instruments be monitored in order for the board to make a reasonable determination whether the maturity must be changed in order to ensure stability. The money market fund should retain a written record of any monitoring and the frequency of such monitoring should be appropriate in light of current market conditions.

Obligation of the Board to Take Action to Stabilize Net Asset Value Per Share

Pursuant to paragraph (a)(2)(i) of the rule, the board of directors of a money market fund using the amortized cost method must establish procedures reasonably designed, taking into account current market conditions and the fund's investment objectives, to stabilize the fund's per share net asset value at a single price. While the rule does not mandate the specific content of the procedures other than as set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(ii), described below, the procedures must be in writing (paragraph (a)(2)(v)) and should provide for action on the part of the investment adviser or the board of directors to ensure that the per share net asset value remains stable. Since the rule prescribes only the minimum provisions that must be included in the procedures adopted by the board, the Commission emphasizes that the board should consider carefully what types of procedures it may wish to establish in order to satisfy the responsibilities to ensure stability and fair valuation undertaken in connection with selecting the valuation method. Examples of types of other procedures that boards may wish to consider adopting are: (1) "early warning systems" whereby the board establishes a procedure requiring the investment adviser to inform the board,

and the board to meet and consider what action is appropriate to take, whenever the market based per share net asset value of the fund falls below or rises above some predesignated level; and (2) procedures which require the investment adviser to modify its portfolio purchases in specified ways as market conditions change.

The specific provisions prescribed in paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of the rule include an obligation that, in the event that the deviation between market-based net asset value per share and amortized cost price exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent ⁴⁷ the board of directors will promptly consider what action, if any, should be initiated by the board. ⁴⁸ In fulfilling that obligation, the Commission takes the position that it is inappropriate, and will not satisfy the condition, for the board of directors to determine that it need not take any action to stabilize the per share net asset value on the basis that the amount of deviation will be reduced over time by anticipated interest rate changes in the market. The Commission bases its position on the fact that the board has, by undertaking to establish procedures to stabilize the net asset value per share, obligated itself to take affirmative action to ensure stability. Because no one can forecast with certainty market trends, or at what point the fund might experience a large increase in redemptions, the Commission believes that a decision not to take any action to reduce the deviation, based upon a belief that market action will reduce the deviation,

⁴⁷ In determining whether the deviation exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent, the market-based per share net asset value must be calculated to the nearest one-hundredth of a cent on a share value of one dollar with no rounding. Therefore, where a fund has an amortized cost price of \$1.00, a market-based net asset value per share of .99500 would not be considered as exceeding the $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent mark, but a value of .99499 could not be rounded up and thus the deviation would be considered to exceed this benchmark.

⁴⁸ The Commission received a comment that the rule should be revised to permit corrective action to be taken either by the board or by the investment adviser pursuant to guidelines established by the board once the fund reached the point where the deviation exceeded $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent. While the Commission has no objection to the board directing the investment adviser to take the actual steps necessary to correct the deviation, it does not believe that the determination of what action should be delegated to the investment adviser, even if it is pursuant to board guidelines. The purpose of this provision is to have the board personally review the operations of the fund at a point which the Commission views as critical. Therefore, this portion of the rule remains unaltered. We note, however, that as discussed elsewhere in this release, the board may adopt procedures for the investment adviser to take corrective action within certain guidelines established by the board at stages prior to reaching $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent deviation.

is not an action reasonably designed to ensure stability. ⁴⁹

The board is required additionally to take such action as it deems appropriate whenever it believes that the amount of deviation may result in material dilution or other unfair results to investors or existing shareholders. ⁵⁰ The rule neither specifies what actions the board must take, nor lists, as orders of exemption have, possible courses of action. However, there is a variety of methods to reduce the deviation, including: Adjusting dividends; selling portfolio instruments prior to maturity to realize capital gains or losses or to shorten the average portfolio maturity of the money market fund; or redeeming shares in kind. ⁵¹

In any event, as provided in paragraph (a)(1) of the rule, if the board were ever to determine that the deviation was such that it could no longer conclude that the amortized cost price fairly reflected the market-based net asset value per share, because of the possibility of dilution or other unfair results, it would have to discontinue use of the amortized cost method of valuation and calculate its price per

⁴⁹ The Commission received a number of comments disagreeing with its view that the board is required to take affirmative action to stabilize the per share net asset value of the fund. Commentators expressed the view that the board should be given total discretion to exercise reasonable business judgment concerning what actions, if any, are needed to ensure stability. While the Commission agrees that the board should be given considerable discretion in determining how the fund should be operated to achieve the goal of stability, the rule and the exemptive orders require the board to operate within certain limitations that are designed to function as safety checks. Therefore, the Commission continues to take the position that the board should not have unfettered discretion. However, the Commission has modified its prior position to the extent that it will not necessarily regard a board's decision not to take action based upon the anticipated maturation of portfolio instruments as *per se* unreasonable. Any such decision, however, would be closely scrutinized to determine whether in light of the particular circumstances, such a decision was an action reasonably designed to ensure stability.

⁵⁰ It should be noted that this requirement of the rule does not depend upon a determination that the deviation will result in material dilution, only that it may. Because the Commission deems a deviation of $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent to be a material amount, under all but highly unusual circumstances, the Commission would find that a deviation exceeding $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent may result in material dilution or other unfair results to shareholders. Thus, it is unlikely that a board of directors could, in conformance with the provisions of the rule, make a finding that no action was necessary when the deviation reached that level. Moreover, a board may find that the possibility of material dilution exists when the deviation is less than $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent. In such an event, the board would also be obligated to take corrective action.

⁵¹ The Commission is not proposing to codify such examples in order to avoid any implication that other actions would be inappropriate.

share in accordance with the provisions of the Act and rules thereunder.³² It should be noted, however, that the board of directors must undertake, as a duty to shareholders, the responsibility of establishing procedures reasonably designed to preclude the necessity for such a switch in valuation methods.

Although the rule does not prescribe the specific actions that the board of directors of a fund using the penny-rounding method must take at a given time to assure that the price per share does not fluctuate, the rule explicitly imposes an obligation on the board to operate the fund in such a manner and, therefore, take action, to preclude a change in the price per share. As the net asset value per share begins to move away from one dollar, the board should consider, among other things altering the average portfolio maturity or the quality of instruments purchased to stabilize the current price per share at one dollar.

With the penny-rounding method, if the net asset value³³ ever fell below .99500 or rose above 1.00500 without rounding on a share value of \$1.00, the fund would have to change its price per share to \$.99 or \$1.01, respectively, or would have to cease to use the penny-rounding method and calculate its price with the accuracy of at least a tenth of a cent. However, under the conditions of the rule, a fund may similarly have to adjust its price under another circumstance. As noted in Release 9786, a fund using penny-rounding may, if the board deems it appropriate, value portfolio securities with less than 60 days until maturity at amortized cost. If all securities held by such a fund were to be valued at market and the net asset value per share based upon those market values, rounded to the nearest one cent, did not fairly reflect the single price per share, then pursuant to paragraph (a)(1) of the rule the fund would have to cease to price its shares at the single price established by the board.

Record of Actions Taken to Stabilize Price

Under paragraph (a)(2)(v) of the rule a money market fund using the amortized cost method must maintain a written record that documents the board's compliance with its obligations under the rule, including its responsibility to consider and take action where mandated. The rule provides that the documentation, which should include a discussion of all instances where the board considered whether action should be taken and what actions were initiated, must be included in the minutes of the board of directors' meetings and must be preserved for six years. Such documentation must also be made available for inspection by the staff of the Commission. In addition, pursuant to paragraph (a)(2)(vi), if any action is taken pursuant to paragraph (a)(2)(ii)(C) of the rule, the board of directors shall cause the fund to file quarterly, as an attachment to Form N-1Q [17 CFR 274.106], a statement describing with specificity the circumstances surrounding the action and the nature of the action taken. This provision of the rule is a slight departure from the existing orders in that it requires funds to make a filing only if some action was taken.³⁴ The Commission believes that the modified filing requirement, in conjunction with the board's monitoring, will provide adequate controls over the use of the amortized cost valuation method and is in accord with the purposes of new provisions regarding the filing of Form N-1Q's and the reduced paperwork burdens thereof.³⁵

• List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 270

Investment companies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

Text of Rule

PART 270—RULES AND REGULATIONS, INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

Part 270 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding new § 270.2a-7, as follows:

³² The existing orders require a quarterly filing stating whether or not any action was taken. In order to eliminate differential treatment, the Division will not recommend that the Commission take any action against a fund if it continues to rely on its individual exemptive order but follows the Form N-1Q reporting requirement contained in the rule.

³³ See Securities Act Release No. 6366 (December 16, 1981), 46 FR 62246 (December 23, 1981).

§ 270.2a-7 Use of the amortized cost valuation and penny-rounding pricing methods by certain money market funds.

(a) The current price per share, for purposes of distribution, redemption and repurchase, of any redeemable security issued by a registered investment company (hereinafter referred to as a money market fund), notwithstanding the requirements of section 2(a)(41) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. 80a-2(a)(41)] and of rule 2a-4 [17 CFR 270.2a-4] and rule 22c-1 [17 CFR 270.22c-1] thereunder, may be computed either by use of the amortized cost method of valuation or by use of the penny-rounding method of pricing; *Provided*, That:

(1) The board of directors of the money market fund (trustees in the case of a trust) determines, in good faith based upon a full consideration of all material factors, that it is in the best interests of the fund and its shareholders to maintain a stable net asset value per share or a stable price per share, by virtue of either the amortized cost method of valuation or by use of the penny-rounding method of pricing, and that the money market fund will continue to use such method only so long as the board of directors believes that it fairly reflects the market-based net asset value per share; and either

(2) In the case of a money market fund using the amortized cost method of valuation:

(i) In supervising the money market fund's operations and delegating special responsibilities involving portfolio management to the money market fund's investment adviser, the money market fund's board of directors (trustees) undertakes—as a particular responsibility within the overall duty of care owed to its shareholders—to establish procedures reasonably designed, taking into account current market conditions and the money market fund's investment objectives, to stabilize the money market fund's net asset value per share, as computed for the purpose of distribution, redemption and repurchase, at a single value;

(ii) Included within the procedures to be adopted by the board of directors (trustees) shall be the following:

(A) Procedures adopted whereby the extent of deviation, if any, of the current net asset value per share calculated using available market quotations (or an appropriate substitute which reflects current market conditions) from the money market fund's amortized cost price per share, will be determined at such intervals as the board of directors (trustees) deems appropriate and are reasonable in light of current market

³² Even without this provision of the rule, the board of directors has an obligation to discontinue a pricing method that does not fairly reflect the value of the fund's securities. As set forth in Release 9786, section 2(a)(41) requires the board of directors to value the fund's assets at fair value as determined in good faith. The language of this obligation was modified slightly in response to comments that indicated that the original language requiring the price to fairly reflect the value of each shareholder's interest was vague; that the shareholder's interest was the fair market value of a share and that the rule should be modified to reflect that.

³³ The net asset value must be calculated using market-based values for all instruments other than those with less than 60 days until maturity, which generally may be valued at amortized cost, unless particular circumstances dictate otherwise. See footnote 44, *supra*.

conditions; periodic review by the board of directors (trustees) of the amount of the deviation as well as the methods used to calculate the deviation; and maintenance of records of the determination of deviation and the board's review thereof.

(B) In the event such deviation from the money market fund's amortized cost price per share exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent, a requirement that the board of directors (trustees) will promptly consider what action, if any, should be initiated by the board of directors (trustees), and

(C) Where the board of directors (trustees) believe the extent of any deviation from the money market fund's amortized cost price per share may result in material dilution or other unfair results to investors or existing shareholders, it shall take such action as it deems appropriate to eliminate or reduce to the extent reasonably practicable such dilution or unfair results;

(iii) The money market fund will maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity appropriate to its objective of maintaining a stable net asset value per share; *Provided, however,* That the money market fund will not: (A) Purchase any instrument with a remaining maturity of greater than one year, or (B) maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity which exceeds 120 days;

(iv) The money market fund will limit its portfolio investments, including repurchase agreements, to those United States dollars-denominated instruments which the board of directors (trustees) determines present minimal credit risks and which are of "high quality" as determined by any major rating service, or in the case of any instrument that is not rated, of comparable quality as determined by the board of directors (trustees);

(v) The money market fund will record, maintain, and preserve permanently in an easily accessible place a written copy of the procedures (and any modification thereto) described in paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section and the money market fund will record, maintain, and preserve for a period of not less than six years (the first two years in an easily accessible place) a written record of the board of directors' (trustees) considerations and actions taken in connection with the discharge of its responsibilities, as set forth above, to be included in the minutes of the board of directors' (trustees) meetings. The documents

preserved pursuant to this condition shall be subject to inspection by the Commission in accordance with section 31(b) of the Act [15 U.S.C. 80a-30(b)] as if such documents were records required to be maintained pursuant to rules adopted under section 31(a) of the Act [15 U.S.C. 80a-30]; and

(vi) If any action was taken pursuant to paragraph (a)(2)(ii)(C) of this section, the money market fund will file a statement as an attachment to Form N-1Q (filed pursuant to rule 30b1-1(b)) describing with specificity the nature and circumstances of such action within 30 days after the close of each calendar quarter during which such action was taken; or

(3) In the case of a money market fund using the penny-rounding method of pricing:

(i) In supervising the money market fund's operations and delegating special responsibilities involving portfolio management to the money market fund's investment adviser, the money market fund's board of directors (trustees) undertakes—as a particular responsibility within the overall duty of care owed to its shareholders—to assure to the extent reasonably practicable, taking into account current market conditions affecting the money market fund's investment objectives, that the money market fund's price per share as computed for the purpose of distribution, redemption and repurchase, rounded to the nearest one per cent, will not deviate from the single price established by the board of directors (trustees).

(ii) The money market fund will maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity appropriate to its objective of maintaining a stable price per share; *Provided, however,* That the money market fund will not (A) purchase any instrument with a remaining maturity of more than one year, or (B) maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity which exceeds 120 days; and

(iii) The money market fund will limit its portfolio investments, including repurchase agreements, to those United States dollar-denominated instruments which the board of directors (trustees) determines present minimal credit risks, and which are of "high quality" as determined by any major rating service or, in the case of any instrument that is not rated, of comparable quality as determined by the board of directors (trustees).

(b) *Definitions.* (1) The "amortized cost method of valuation" is the method of calculating an investment company's current net asset value whereby portfolio securities are valued by reference to the fund's acquisition cost as adjusted for amortization of premium or accumulation of discount rather than by reference to their value based on current market factors.

(2) The "penny-rounding method of pricing" is the method of computing an investment company's price per share for purposes of distribution, redemption and repurchase whereby the current net asset value per share is rounded to the nearest one percent.

(3) A variable rate instrument is one whose terms provide for automatic establishment of a new interest rate on set dates.

(4) A floating rate instrument is one whose terms provide for automatic adjustment of its interest rate whenever some specified interest rate changes.

(5) The maturity of an instrument shall be deemed to be the period remaining until the date noted on the face of the instrument as the date on which the principal amount owed must be paid, or in the case of an instrument called for redemption, the date on which the redemption payment must be made, except that:

(i) If the board of directors (trustees) has determined that it is reasonable to expect that whenever a new interest rate on a variable rate instrument is established it will then cause the instrument to have a current market value which approximates its par value, (A) an instrument that is issued or guaranteed by the United States government or any agency thereof which has a variable rate of interest readjusted no less frequently than annually may be deemed to have a maturity equal to the period remaining until the next readjustment of the interest rate; (B) an instrument which has a demand feature that entitles the holder to receive the principal amount of such instrument from the issuer upon no more than seven days' notice and which has a variable rate of interest may be deemed to have a maturity equal to the longer of the period remaining until the interest rate will be readjusted or the period remaining until the principal amount owed can be recovered through demand. *Provided,* That the board of directors (trustees) determines no less frequently than quarterly that the instrument is of high quality; and (C) an instrument

which has a variable rate of interest may be deemed to have a maturity equal to the period remaining until the next readjustment of the interest rate.

Provided, That the period remaining until the date noted on the face of the instrument as the date on which the principal amount owed must be paid is one year or less;

(ii) An instrument which has a demand feature that entitles the holder to receive the principal amount of such instrument from the issuer upon no more than seven days' notice and which has a floating rate of interest may be deemed to have a maturity equal to the period of time remaining until the principal amount owed can be recovered from the issuer through demand. *Provided*, That the floating interest rate is adjusted concurrently with any change in an identified market interest rate to which it is pegged and the board of directors (trustees) determines (A) that it is reasonable to expect that such floating rate feature will ensure that the market value of such instrument will always approximate its par value, and (B) no less frequently than quarterly that the instrument is of high quality;

(iii) A repurchase agreement may be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the date on which the repurchase of the underlying securities is scheduled to occur, or where no specific date is specified, but the agreement is subject to demand, the notice period applicable to a demand for the repurchase of the securities; and

(iv) A portfolio lending agreement may be treated as having a maturity equal to the period remaining until the date on which the loaned securities are scheduled to be returned, or where no specific date is specified, but the agreement is subject to demand, the notice period applicable to a demand for return of the loaned securities.

(6) "One year" shall mean 365 days except, in the case of an instrument that was originally issued as a one year instrument, but had up to 375 days until maturity, one year shall mean 375 days.

Statutory Basis: Rule 2a-7 is promulgated pursuant to the provisions of sections 6(c) (15 U.S.C. 80a-6(c)), 22(c) (15 U.S.C. 80a-22(c)) and 38(a) (15 U.S.C. 80a-37(a)) of the Act.

By the Commission.

July 11, 1983.

Shirley E. Hollis,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-19239 Filed 7-15-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Parts 101, 104, 201, and 204

[Docket No. RM83-61-000]

Technical Amendments to the Uniform Systems of Accounts for Public Utilities and Licensees and Natural Gas Companies

Issued March 29, 1983 and corrected by Erratum Notice issued May 13, 1983

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Technical amendments to correct errors.

SUMMARY: By these amendments, Parts 101, 104, 201, and 204 of Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended to correct errors which have occurred in Title 18. The parts are further revised to delete the subtitle classifications from the textual section and to arrange the text of the accounts in a numerical sequence.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine Dawson, Office of Chief Accountant, Federal Energy Regulatory Comm., 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 376-9782. Jonas P. Green, Office of Chief Accountant, Federal Energy Regulatory Comm., 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 376-9624.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By these amendments, the Uniform System of Accounts Prescribed for Public Utilities and Licensees Subject to the Provisions of the Federal Power Act and the Uniform System of Accounts Prescribed for Natural Gas Companies Subject to the Provisions of the Natural Gas Act, are amended to correct errors which have occurred in Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The accounts are further revised to delete the subtitle classifications from the textual section of the accounts and place the texts of the accounts in numerical sequence by account group.

A. Background and Summary

The Uniform System of Accounts Prescribed for Public Utilities and Licensees consists of (1) Part 101 for Class A and Class B Companies and (2) Part 104 for Class C and Class D Companies. The Uniform System of Accounts Prescribed for Natural Gas

Companies consists of (1) Part 201 for Class A and Class B Natural Gas Companies and (2) Part 204 for Class C and Class D Natural Gas Companies.

Several errors have occurred in the Uniform Systems of Accounts in Title 18 CFR. Under these amendments, the Chart of Accounts to the Uniform Systems of Accounts is amended to correct those errors. The accounts are further revised to delete the subtitle classifications from the textual sections of the Accounts and to arrange the texts in a numerical sequence by account groups. These changes in the accounts as printed in the Code of Federal Regulations do not add or delete any required information, but rather correct errors in the Accounts as printed in the Regulations, and enhance the format in which the text of the accounts are printed in the Regulations, which will allow for easier reference, and lessen the possibility of confusion.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 101, 104, 201, and 204, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, are amended as set forth below.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

PART 101—[AMENDED]

1. Part 101 is amended in the section entitled, "Income Chart of Accounts," by adding two subtitle classifications under the classification, "2. Other Income and Deductions," and placing Account "420, Investment tax credits" immediately following Account "411.5, Investment tax credit adjustments, nonutility operations." As amended, the "Income Chart of Accounts" will read:

Income Chart of Accounts

- • • • •
- 2. Other Income and Deductions.
- A. Other Income.

415 Revenues from merchandising, jobbing and contract work.

- • • • •
- B. Other Income Deductions.

421.2 Loss on disposition of property.

- • • • •
- C. Taxes Applicable to Other Income and Deductions.

408.2 Taxes other than income taxes, other income and deductions.

- • • • •
- 411.5 Investment tax credit adjustments, nonutility operations.

420 Investment tax credits.

Total taxes on other income and deductions.

Net other income and deductions.

2. Part 101 is amended in the sections entitled "Balance Sheet Accounts," "Electric Plant Accounts," "Income Accounts," "Operating Revenue Accounts," and "Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts" by deleting the subtitle classification. As amended the text of these sections will have the following removed:

Subtitle classifications	Location—Immediately prior to the title for account
In the Balance Sheet Accounts	
Assets and Other Debits	101
1. Utility Plant	101
2. Other Property Investments	121
3. Current and Accrued Assets	(¹)
4. Deferred Debits	181
Liabilities and Other Credits	201
5. Proprietary Capital	201
6. Long-Term Debt	221
7. Current and Accrued Liabilities	(¹)
8. Deferred Credits	251/252
9. Operating Reserves	261
In the Electric Plant Accounts:	
1. Intangible Plant	301
2. Production Plant	310
A. Steam Production	310
B. Nuclear Production	320
C. Hydraulic Production	330
D. Other Production	340
3. Transmission Plant	350
4. Distribution Plant	360
5. General Plant	389
In the Income Accounts:	
1. Utility Operating Income	400
2. Other Income and Deduction	415
3. Interest Charges	427
4. Extraordinary Items	434
In the Operating Revenue Accounts:	
1. Sales of Electricity	440
2. Other Operating Revenues	450
In the Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts:	
1. Power Production Expenses	500
A. Steam Power Generation	500
Operation	500
Maintenance	510
B. Nuclear Power Generation	517
Operation	517
Maintenance	528
C. Hydraulic Power Generation	535
Operation	535
Maintenance	541
D. Other Power Generation	546
Operation	546
Maintenance	551
E. Other Power Supply Expenses	555
2. Transmission Expenses	560
Operation	560
Maintenance	568
3. Distribution Expenses	580
Operation	580
Maintenance	590
4. Customer Accounts Expenses	901
Operation	901
5. Customer Service and Informational Expenses	907
Operation	907
6. Sales Expenses	911
Operation	911
7. Administrative and General Expenses	920
Operation	920
Maintenance	932

¹ Following the text of account 125.
² Following the text of account 226.

PART 104—[AMENDED]

3. Part 104 is amended in the sections

entitled "Balance Sheet Accounts," "Electric Plant Accounts," "Operating Revenue Accounts," and "Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts" by removing the subtitle Classifications.

As amended the text of the Sections will have the following removed:

Subtitle classifications	Location—Immediately prior to the title for account
In the Balance Sheet Accounts	
Assets and Other Debits	101
1. Utility Plant	101
2. Other Property and Investments	121
3. Current and Accrued Assets	(¹)
4. Deferred Debits	181
Liabilities and Other Credits	201
5. Proprietary Capital	201
6. Long-Term Debt	221
7. Current and Accrued Liabilities	(¹)
8. Deferred Credits	251/252
9. Operating Reserves	261
In the Electric Plant Accounts:	
1. Intangible Plant	301
2. Production Plant	310
A. Steam Production	310
B. Hydraulic Production	330
C. Other Production	340
3. Transmission Plant	350
4. Distribution Plant	360
5. General Plant	389
In the Income Accounts:	
1. Utility Operating Income	400
2. Other Income and Deductions	415
3. Interest Charges	427
4. Extraordinary Items	434
In the Operating Revenue Accounts:	
1. Sales of Electricity	440
2. Other Operating Revenues	450
In the Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts:	
1. Power Production Expenses	500
A. Steam Power Generation	500
Operation	500
Maintenance	506
B. Hydraulic Power Generation	530
Operation	530
Maintenance	535
C. Other Power Generation	538
Operation	538
Maintenance	543
D. Other Power Supply Expenses	545
Operation	545
2. Transmission Expenses	550
Operation	550
Maintenance	553
3. Distribution Expenses	560
Operation	560
Maintenance	571
4. Customer Accounts Expense	901
Operation	901
5. Customer Service and Information Expense	907
Operation	907
6. Sales Expenses	910
Operation	910
7. Administrative and General Expenses	920
Operation	920
Maintenance	935

¹ Following the text of account 125.
² Following the text of account 226.

PART 201—[AMENDED]

4. Part 201 is amended in the section entitled, "Income Chart of Accounts," by relocating certain account titles and establishing a new subtitle classification for "C. Taxes Applicable to Other Income and Deductions." As amended,

the "Income Chart of Accounts" will read:

Income Chart of Accounts

1. Utility Operating Income

- 400 Operating revenues.
- Operating expenses:
- 401 Operation expense.
- 402 Maintenance expense.
- 403 Depreciation expense.
- 404.1 Amortization and depletion of producing natural gas land and land rights.
- 404.2 Amortization of underground storage land and land rights.
- 404.3 Amortization of other limited-term gas plant.
- 405 Amortization of other gas plant.
- 406 Amortization of gas plant acquisition adjustments.
- 407.1 Amortization of property losses.
- 407.2 Amortization of conversion expense.
- 408 [Reserved]
- 408.1 Taxes other than income taxes, utility operating income.
- 409 [Reserved]
- 409.1 Income taxes, utility operating income.
- 410 [Reserved]
- 410.1 Provision for deferred income taxes utility operating income.
- 411 [Reserved]
- 411.1 Provision for deferred income taxes—Credit, utility operating income.
- 411.3 [Reserved]
- 411.4 Investment tax credit adjustment, utility operations.
- 411.6 Gains from disposition of utility plant.
- 411.7 Losses from disposition of utility plant. Total utility operating expenses.
- Other operating income:
- 412 Revenues from gas plant leased to others.
- 413 Expenses of gas plant leased to others.
- 414 Other utility operating income. Net utility operating income.

2. Other Income and Deductions

- A. Other Income
- 415 Revenues from merchandising, jobbing and contract work.
- 416 Costs and expenses of merchandising, jobbing and contract work.
- 417 Revenues from nonutility operations.
- 417.1 Expenses of nonutility operations.
- 418 Nonoperating rental income.
- 418.1 Equity in earnings of subsidiary companies.
- 419 Interest and dividend income.
- 419.1 Allowance for other funds used during construction.
- 421 Miscellaneous nonoperating income.
- 421.1 Gain on disposition of property. Total other income.
- B. Other Income Deductions
- 421.2 Loss on disposition of property.
- 425 Miscellaneous amortization.
- 426 [Reserved]
- 426.1 Donations.
- 426.2 Life insurance.
- 426.3 Penalties.
- 426.4 Expenditures for certain civic, political and related activities.

426.5 Other deductions. Total other income deductions. Total other income and deductions.

C. Taxes Applicable to Other Income and Deductions

408.2 Taxes other than income taxes, other income and deductions.

409.2 Income taxes, other income and deductions.

410.2 Provision for deferred income taxes, other income and deductions.

411.2 Provision for deferred income taxes—Credit, other income and deductions.

411.5 Investment tax credit adjustments, nonutility operations.

420 Investment tax credits. Total taxes on other income and deductions. Net other income and deductions.

3. Interest Charges

427 Interest on long-term debt.

428 Amortization of debt discount and expense.

428.1 Amortization of loss on required debt.

429 Amortization of premium on debt—Credit.

429.1 Amortization of gain on required debt—Credit.

430 Interest on debt to associated companies.

431 Other interest expense.

432 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction—Credit. Net interest charges.

4. Extraordinary Items

434 Extraordinary income.

435 Extraordinary deductions.

409.3 Income taxes, extraordinary items. Net income

5. Part 201 is amended in the sections entitled "Balance Sheet Accounts," "Gas Plant Accounts," "Operating Revenue Accounts," and "Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts," by deleting the subtitle classifications. As amended, the text of these sections will have the following removed:

Subtitle classifications	Location—immediately prior to the title for the account
In the Balance Sheet Accounts	
Assets and Other Debits	101
1. Utility Plant	101
2. Other Property and Investments	121
3. Current and Accrued Assets	(*)
4. Deferred Debits	181
Liabilities and Other Credits	201
5. Proprietary Capital	201
6. Long-Term Debt	221
7. Current and Accrued Liabilities	(*)
8. Deferred Credits	252
9. Operating Reserves	261
In the Gas Plant Accounts:	
1. Intangible Plant	301
2. Production Plant	304
A. Manufactured Gas Production Plant	304
B. Natural Production Plant	(*)
(1) Natural Gas Production and Gathering Plant	(*)
(2) Production Extraction Plant	340
3. Natural Gas Storage and Processing Plant	(*)

Subtitle classifications	Location—immediately prior to the title for the account
A. Underground Storage Plant	350.1
B. Other Storage Plant	360
C. Base Load Liquefied Natural Gas Terminating and Processing Plant	364.1
4. Transmission Plant	365.1
5. Distribution Plant	374
6. General Plant	389
In the Income Accounts:	
1. Utility Operating Income	400
2. Other Income and Deductions	415
A. Other Income	415
B. Other Income Deductions	421.2
3. Interest Charges	427
4. Extraordinary Items	434
In the Operating Revenue Accounts:	
1. Sales of Gas	480
2. Other Operating Revenues	482
In the Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts:	
1. Production Expenses	700
A. Manufactured Gas Production	700
(1) Steam Production	700
Operation	700
Maintenance	705
(2) Manufactured Gas Production	710
Operation	710
Maintenance	740
B. Natural Gas Production	750
(1) Natural Gas Production and Gathering	750
Operation	750
Maintenance	761
(2) Products extraction	770
Operation	770
Maintenance	784
C. Exploration and Development Expenses	795
D. Other Gas Supply Expenses	800
Operation	800
2. Natural Gas Storage, Terminating and Processing Expenses	(*)
A. Underground Storage Expenses	841
Maintenance	839
B. Other Storage Expenses	840
Operation	840
C. Liquefied Natural Gas Terminating and Processing Expenses	844.1
Operation	844.1
Maintenance	847.1
3. Transmission Expenses	850
Operation	850
Maintenance	861
4. Distribution Expenses	870
Operation	870
Maintenance	885
5. Customers Accounts Expenses	901
Operation	901
6. Customer Service and Informational Expenses	907
Operation	907
7. Sales Expenses	911
Operation	911
8. Administrative and General Expenses	920
Operation	920
Maintenance	932

* Following the text of account 128.

* Following the text of account 226.

* Following the text of account 320.

* Following the text of account 347.

* Following the text of account 813.

6. Part 204 is amended in the section entitled, "Income Chart of Accounts," by relocating certain account titles and establishing a new subclassification for "C. Taxes Applicable to Other Income and Deductions * * *". As amended, the "Income Chart of Accounts," will read:

Income Chart of Accounts

1. Utility Operating Income

400 Operating revenues.

Operating expenses:

401 Operating expense.

402 Maintenance expense.

403 Depreciation and depletion expense.

404 Amortization of limited-term gas plant.

405 Amortization of other gas plant.

406 Amortization of gas plant acquisition adjustments.

407.1 Amortization of property losses.

407.2 Amortization of conversion expenses.

408.1 Taxes other than income taxes, utility operating income.

409.1 Income taxes, utility operating income.

410.1 Provision for deferred income taxes, utility operating income.

411.1 Income taxes deferred in prior years—Credit utility operating income.

411.4 Investment tax credit adjustments, utility operations. Total gas utility operating expenses. Net gas utility operating income.

411.6 Gains from disposition of utility plant.

411.7 Losses from disposition of utility plant.

Other Operating Income:

412 Revenues from disposition of utility plant.

413 Expenses of gas plant leased to others.

414 Other utility operating income. Net utility operating income.

2. Other Income and Deductions

A. Other Income

415 Revenues from merchandising, jobbing and contract work.

416 Costs and expenses of merchandising, jobbing and contract work.

417 Revenues from nonutility operations.

417.1 Expenses of nonutility operations.

418 Nonoperating rental income.

419 Interest and dividend income.

419.1 Allowance for other funds used during construction.

421 Miscellaneous nonoperating income.

421.1 Gain on disposition of property. Total other income.

B. Other Income Deductions

421.2 Loss on disposition of property.

425 Miscellaneous amortization.

426.1 Donations.

426.2 Life insurance.

426.3 Penalties.

426.4 Expenditures for certain civic, political and related activities.

426.5 Other deductions. Total other income deductions. Total other income and deductions.

C. Taxes Applicable to Other Income and Deductions

408.2 Taxes other than income taxes, other income and deductions.

409.2 Income taxes, other income and deductions.

410.2 Provision for deferred income taxes, other income and deductions.

411.2 Provision for deferred taxes—Credit, other income and deductions.

411.5 Investment tax credit adjustments nonutility operations.

420 Investment tax credits. Total taxes on other income and deductions. Net other income and deductions.

3. Interest Charges

427 Interest on long-term debt.

- 428 Amortization of debt discount and expense.
 428.1 Amortization of loss on reacquired debt.
 429 Amortization of premium on debt-Cr.
 429.1 Amortization of gain on reacquired debt-credit.
 430 Interest on debt to associated companies.
 431 Other interest expense.
 432 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction—Credit. Net interest charges.

4. Extraordinary Items

- 434 Extraordinary income.
 435 Extraordinary deductions.
 409.3 Income taxes, extraordinary items, Net income.

7. Part 204 is amended in the text of accounts section, by relocating the texts of Account "434, Extraordinary Income," and "Account 435, Extraordinary Deductions," from the Retained Earnings Accounts to the Income Accounts following the text of Account 432, Allowance for Borrowed Funds Used During Construction—Credit. As amended, the text of the "Income Accounts" will read:

Income Accounts

- 432 Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction—Credit.

434 Extraordinary income.

This account shall be credited with nontypical, noncustomary infrequently recurring gains, which would significantly distort the current year's income computed before Extraordinary Items, if reported other than as extraordinary items. Income tax relating to the amounts recorded in this account shall be recorded in account 409.3, Income Taxes, Extraordinary Items. (See General Instruction 8.)

435 Extraordinary deductions.

This account shall be debited with nontypical, noncustomary, infrequently recurring losses, which would significantly distort the current year's income computed before Extraordinary Items, if reported other than as extraordinary items. Income tax relating to the amounts recorded in this account shall be recorded in account 409.3, Income Taxes, Extraordinary Items. (See General Instruction 8.)

Retained Earnings Chart of Accounts

8. Part 204 is amended in the sections entitled "Balanced Sheet Accounts," "Gas Plant Account," "Operating Revenue Accounts," and "Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts" by deleting the subtitle classifications. As

amended the text of the sections will have the following removed.

Subtitle classification	Location—immediately prior to the title for the account
In the Balance Sheet Accounts	
Assets and Other Debits	101
1. Utility Plant	101
2. Other Property and Investments	121
3. Current and Accrued Assets	(1)
4. Deferred Debits	181
In the Liabilities and Other Credits	201
5. Proprietary Capital	201
6. Long-Term Debt	221
7. Current and Accrued Liabilities	(1)
8. Deferred Credits	252
9. Operating Reserves	261
In the Gas Plant Accounts:	
1. Intangible Plant	301
2. Production Plant	304
A. Manufactured Gas Production Plant	304
B. Natural Gas Production Plant	(7)
(i) Natural Gas Production and Gas Gathering Plant	(2)
(ii) Products Extraction Plant	340
3. Natural Gas Storage Plant	(1)
A. Underground Storage Plant	350.1
B. Other Storage Plant	360
4. Transmission Plant	365.1
5. Distribution Plant	374
6. General Plant	389
In the Income Accounts:	
1. Utility Operating Income	400
2. Other Income and Deductions	415
A. Other Income	415
B. Other Income Deductions	421.2
3. Interest Charges	427
4. Extraordinary Items	434
In the Operating Revenue Accounts:	
1. Sales of Gas	480
2. Other Operating Revenues	487
In the Operation and Maintenance Expense Accounts:	
1. Manufactured Gas Production	700
2. Natural Gas Production	710
3. Exploration and Development Expenses	720
4. Other Gas Supply Expenses	730
5. Storage Expenses	(1)
6. Transmission Expenses	750
7. Distribution Expenses	760
8. Customer Account Expenses	901
9. Customer Service and Informational Expenses	907
10. Sales Expenses	910
11. Administrative and General Expenses	920
12. Operation	920

¹ Following the text of account 125.

² Following the text of account 226.

³ Following the text of account 320.

⁴ Following the text of account 347.

⁵ Following the text of account 736.

PART 101—[AMENDED]

9. Part 101 is further amended in the Balance Sheet Accounts of the textual section of the accounts to add the "Special Instructions" heading to the paragraph describing current and accrued assets, immediately following the text of Account 128, Other special funds, and to the paragraph describing current and accrued liabilities immediately following the text of Account 226, Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit. As amended, the texts of the accounts will read:

Balance Sheet Accounts

128 Other special funds.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Assets. Current and accrued assets are cash, * * *

131 Cash.

226 Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Liabilities. Current and accrued liabilities are * * *

231 Notes payable.

PART 104—[AMENDED]

10. Part 104 is further amended in the Balance Sheet Accounts of the textual section of the accounts to add the "Special Instructions" heading to the paragraph describing current and accrued assets, immediately following the text of Account 125, Special funds, and to the paragraph describing current and accrued liabilities, immediately following to the text to Account 226, Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit. As amended, the text of the accounts will read:

Balance Sheet Accounts

125 Special funds.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Assets. Current and accrued assets are cash, * * *

131 Cash and working funds.

226 Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Liabilities. Current and accrued liabilities are * * *

231 Notes payable.

11. Part 201 is further amended in the Balance Sheet Accounts of the textual section of the accounts to add the "Special Instructions" heading to the paragraph describing current and accrued assets, immediately following the text of Account 128, Other special funds, and to the paragraph describing current and accrued liabilities immediately following to the text to

Account 226, Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit. As amended, the texts of the accounts will read:

Balance Sheet Accounts

128 Other special funds.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Assets. Current and accrued assets are cash. * * *

131 Cash.

226 Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Liabilities. Current and accrued liabilities are * * *

231 Notes payable.

PART 204—[AMENDED]

12. Part 204 is further amended in the Balance Sheet Accounts of the textual section of the accounts to add the "Special Instructions" heading to the paragraph describing current and accrued Asset, immediately following the text to Account 125, Special funds, and to the paragraph describing current and accrued liabilities immediately following to the text to Account 226, Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit. As amended, the text of the accounts will read:

Balance Sheet Accounts

128 Special funds.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Assets. Current and accrued assets are cash. * * *

131 Cash and working funds.

226 Unamortized discount on long-term debt—Debit.

Special Instructions for Current and Accrued Liabilities. Current and accrued liabilities are * * *

231 Notes payable.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR Part 36

Increase in Maximum Permissible Interest Rates on Guaranteed Manufactured Home Loans, Home and Condominium Loans, and Home Improvement Loans

AGENCY: Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Final regulations.

SUMMARY: The VA (Veterans Administration) is increasing the maximum interest rates on guaranteed manufactured home unit loans, lot loans, and combination manufactured home unit and lot loans. In addition, the maximum interest rates applicable to fixed payment and graduated payment home and condominium loans, and to home improvement and energy conservation loans are also increased. These increases are necessary because previous rates were not competitive enough to induce lenders to make guaranteed or insured home loans without substantial discounts, or to make manufactured home loans. The increase in the interest rates will assure a continuing supply of funds for home mortgages, home improvement and manufactured home loans.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 11, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. George D. Moerman, Loan Guaranty Service (264), Department of Veterans Benefits, Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20420 (202-389-3042).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Administrator is required by section 1819(f), title 38, United States Code, to establish maximum interest rates for manufactured home loans guaranteed by the VA as he finds the manufactured home loan capital markets demand. Recent market indicators—including the prime rate, the general increase in interest rates charged on conventional manufactured home loans, and the increase in other short-term and long-term interest rates—have shown that the manufactured home capital markets have become more restrictive. It is now necessary to increase the interest rates on manufactured home unit loans, lot loans, and combination manufactured home unit and lot loans in order to assure an adequate supply of funds from lenders and investors to make these types of VA loans.

The Administrator is also required by section 1803(c), title 38, United States Code, to establish maximum interest rates for home and condominium loans, including graduated payment mortgage

loans, and for loans for home improvement purposes. Recent market indicators—including the rate of discount charged by lenders on VA and Federal Housing Administration loans and the general increase in interest rates charged by lenders on conventional loans, have shown that the mortgage money market has become more restrictive. The maximum rates in effect for VA guaranteed home and condominium loans and those for energy conservation and home improvement purposes have not been sufficiently competitive to induce private sector lenders to make these types of VA guaranteed or insured loans without imposing substantial discounts. To assure a continuing supply of funds for home mortgages through the VA loan guaranty program, it has been determined that an increase in the maximum permissible rates applicable to home and improvement loans is necessary. The increased return to the lender will make VA loans competitive with other available investments and assure a continuing supply of funds for guaranteed and insured mortgages.

The Administrator's statutory authority to establish interest rates has been delegated by 38 CFR 2.6(b)(3) to the Chief Benefits Director, Deputy Chief Benefits Director, or person authorized to act for them.

Regulatory Flexibility Act/Executive Order 12291

For the reasons discussed in the May 7, 1981 Federal Register (46 FR 25443), it has previously been determined that final regulations of this type which change the maximum interest rates for loans guaranteed, insured, or made pursuant to chapter 37 of title 38, United States Code, are not subject to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612.

These regulatory amendments have also been reviewed under the provisions of Executive Order 12291. The VA finds that they do not come within the definition of a "major rule" as defined in that Order. The existing process of informal consultation among representatives within the Executive Office of the President, OMB, the VA and the Department of Housing and Urban Development has been determined to be adequate to satisfy the intent of this Executive Order for this category of regulations. This alternative consultation process permits timely rate adjustments with minimal risk of premature disclosure. In summary, this consultation process will fulfill the intent of the Executive Order while still permitting compliance with statutory

responsibilities for timely rate adjustments and a stable flow of mortgage credit at rates consistent with the market.

These final regulations come within exceptions to the general VA policy of prior publication of proposed rules as contained in 38 CFR 1.12. The publication of notice of a regulatory change in the VA maximum interest rates for VA guaranteed, insured, and direct home and condominium loans, loans for energy conservation and other home improvement purposes, and loans for manufactured home purposes would create an acute shortage of funds pending the final rule publication date which would necessarily be more than 30 days after publication in proposed form. Accordingly, it has been determined that publication of proposed regulations prior to publication of final regulations is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program numbers, 64.113, 64.114, and 64.119)

These regulations are adopted under authority granted to the Administrator by sections 210(c), 1803(c)(1), 1811(d)(1) and 1819 (f) and (g) of title 38, United States Code and delegated to the undersigned by 38 CFR 2.6(b)(3). The regulations are clearly within that statutory authority and are consistent with Congressional intent.

These increases are accomplished by amending §§ 36.4212(a) (1), (2), and (3), and 36.4311 (a), (b), and (c), and 36.4503(a), title 38, Code of Federal Regulations.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 36

Condominiums, Handicapped, Housing, Loan Programs—housing and community development, Manufactured homes, Veterans.

Approved: July 8, 1983.

By direction of the Administrator.

Dorothy L. Starbuck,
Chief Benefits Director.

PART 36—LOAN GUARANTY

The Veterans Administration is amending 38 CFR Part 36 as follows:

1. In § 36.4212, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4212 Interest rates and late charges.

(a) The interest rate charged the borrower on a loan guaranteed or insured pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 1819 may not exceed the following maxima except on loans guaranteed or insured pursuant to guaranty or insurance commitments issued by the Veterans Administration

prior to the respective effective date: (38 U.S.C. 1819(f))

(1) Effective July 11, 1983, 14½ percent simple interest per annum for a loan which finances the purchase of a manufactured home unit only.

(2) Effective July 11, 1983, 14 percent simple interest per annum for a loan which finances the purchase of a lot only and the cost of necessary site preparation, if any.

(3) Effective July 11, 1983, 14 percent simple interest per annum for a loan which will finance the simultaneous acquisition of a manufactured home and a lot and/or the site preparation necessary to make a lot acceptable as the site for the manufactured home.

2. In § 36.4311, paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), are revised as follows:

§ 36.4311 Interest rates.

(a) Excepting loans guaranteed or insured pursuant to guaranty or insurance commitments issued by the Veterans Administration which specify an interest rate in excess of 12½ per centum per annum, effective July 11, 1983, the interest rate on any home or condominium loan, other than a graduated payment mortgage loan, guaranteed or insured wholly or in part on or after such date may not exceed 12½ per centum per annum on the unpaid principal balance. (38 U.S.C. 1803(c)(1))

(b) Excepting loans guaranteed or insured pursuant to guaranty or insurance commitments issued by the Veterans Administration which specify an interest rate in excess of 12½ per centum per annum, effective July 11, 1983, the interest rate on any graduated payment mortgage loan guaranteed or insured wholly or in part on or after such date may not exceed 12½ per centum per annum. (38 U.S.C. 1803(c)(1))

(c) Effective July 11, 1983, the interest rate on any loan solely for energy conservation improvements or other alterations, improvements or repairs which is guaranteed or insured wholly or in part on or after such date may not exceed 14 per centum per annum on the unpaid principal balance. (38 U.S.C. 1803(c)(1))

3. In § 36.4503, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4503 Amount and amortization.

(a) The original principal amount of any loan made on or after October 1, 1980, shall not exceed an amount which bears the same ratio to \$33,000 as the amount of the guaranty to which the veteran is entitled under 38 U.S.C. 1810 at the time the loan is made bears to

\$27,500. This limitation shall not preclude the making of advances, otherwise proper, subsequent to the making of the loan pursuant to the provision of § 36.4511. Except as to home improvement loans, loans made by the Veterans Administration shall bear interest at the rate of 12½ percent per annum. Loans solely for the purpose of energy conservation improvement or other alterations, improvements, or repair shall bear interest at the rate of 14 percent per annum. (38 U.S.C. 1811(d)(1) and (2)(A))

[FR Doc. 83-19234 Filed 7-15-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-4-FRL 2371; TN-010]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee; Knox County Lead Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is today announcing approval of the lead implementation plan developed by the Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control for Knox County, Tennessee. This plan fills the requirements of Section 110(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) in regard to the lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in Knox County. The Knox County lead implementation plan demonstrates attainment of the lead standard.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action will be effective on September 16, 1983 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Raymond S. Gregory of Region IV's Air Management Branch (see address below). Copies of the materials submitted by the State may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Public Information Reference Unit,
Library Systems Branch,
Environmental Protection Agency, 401
M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460
Environmental Protection Agency,
Region IV, Air Management Branch,
345 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta,
Georgia 30365